# DETERMINATION OF CONTAMINATION STAGES OF FROZEN CHICKEN DÖNER

## N. DEĞİRMENCİOĞLU<sup>1</sup>\*, R. IRKIN<sup>2</sup> and A. DEĞİRMENCİOĞLU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Balıkesir University, Bandırma Vocational School, Bandırma, Balıkesir, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Balıkesir University, Susurluk Vocational School, Susurluk, Balıkesir, Turkey \*Corresponding author: Tel. +90 266 7149302, Fax +90 266 7149304, email: dnurcan@balikesir.edu.tr

## ABSTRACT

"Döner" is a traditional food product generally produced with beef, lamb, and/or chicken meat, and is a very popular product in Turkey. The aim of this study was to investigate the possible contamination sources during the processing stages of Chicken Döner. Total viable psychrotrophs, total lactic acid bacteria, *Enterobacteriaceae* spp., *Escherichia coli*, total yeast and mold, *Salmonella* spp., and *Staphylococcus aureus* counts were determined at 13 processing points. At the freezing stage, lactic acid bacteria and *Enterobacteriaceae* counts can decrease, but at this point the elimination of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* contaminations is also very important.

- Keywords: chicken döner, contamination, meat processing, microbiological quality, ready-to-eat -

## INTRODUCTION

"Döner" (sometimes known by other names, such as gyro, donair, dona kebab, and shawarma) is generally made of beef, lamb, veal, and/ or poultry meat and is a traditional meat product in the Middle East (TODD et al., 1986; KILIÇ, 2003; KAYAARDI et al., 2005). The traditional "Döner" is made from intact muscle, ground muscle, or slices of meat interleaved with layers of raw meat resembling minced meat (TODD et al., 1986; KILIÇ, 2003; VAZGEÇER et al., 2004). Meat pieces (thickness ranging from 1-6 mm) or ground meat is marinated (for 3-6 h) according to the producers' preferences with red or black pepper, salt, diced onion, onion juice, or onion powder; diced tomatoes or tomato sauce; olive oil; lemon juice or vinegar; white sugar; cumin; allspice; thyme; grape juice; milk or milk powder; yoghurt, and egg at 4°C for 12 h (KAYAHAN and WELZ, 1992; ANONYMOUS, 1995; VAZGEÇER et al., 2004; KAYAARDI et al., 2005). Then, döner dough is impaled on a vertical stainless steel döner stick. The döner is grilled in a vertical position in front of heating equipment (open gas or electric oven to cook the surface). When the meat surface is cooked, it is shaved off, and the cooked döner is thinly sliced. "Döner" slices are served either on a plate or on bread with sliced tomato, onions, and lettuce (ANONYMOUS, 1995; KAYIŞOĞLU et al., 2003).

Recently, the use of chicken and turkey breast meat in "Döner" production has become very popular because of the low cost of production, the high nutritional value, and the ease of digesting the product (CHOULIARA et al., 2007). When chicken breast meat is used to manufacture döner as described above, it is called "Chicken Döner" (KILIÇ, 2003). In recent years, Döner has become a ready-to-eat food product that is prepared in meat processing plants. After preparation, it is packaged in a plastic tray and held in refrigerated (+4°C, 24 h) or frozen conditions (-18°C, 6 months) until it is ready to be cooked. The aim of freezing is to control microbiological activity (KILIC, 2003; ERGÖNÜL and KUNDAKÇI, 2007).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the hygienic conditions and possible contamination sources in the processing stages of "Chicken Döner" that limit its shelf-life.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Material

Sampling procedures

"Chicken Döners" were prepared four times in a local meat factory in Balıkesir, Turkey, at different times, and each sample from collected processing stages was analyzed twice ( $n = 4 \ge 2$ ). Fresh, deboned chicken breast meat was obtained from another company, and all bone,

**316** Ital. J. Food Sci., vol. 24 - 2012

skin, and subcutaneous fat were removed before use. Chicken breast fillets were marinated in sauce (tomato paste, yoghurt, vegetable oil, water, garlic, onion) for 12 h at 4°C. Immediately after, the fillets were massaged for 25 min at 10°C, after which they were given a cone shape and placed on a stainless steel döner spit. Plastic stretch film was used as the packaging material. The döner samples were frozen at -40°C, and subsequently stored at -18°C.

The microbiological analyses were conducted for the various stages of chicken döner production on the day of production. A sample of 100 g was collected from each stage of the production process, placed in a sterile Stomacher bag, and stored in a cooler for transportation to the laboratory, where the analyses were conducted within 2 h. The stages of sampling were as follows: 1) raw chicken breast meat (with bone), 2) the hands of the employees who were chopping the meat, 3) the meat cutting board and knife, 4) the meat collecting tray, 5) the fillets, 6) sauce, 7) marinated fillets, 8) fillets in sauce before massaging, 9) fillet in sauce after massaging, 10) the board used to skewer the fillets, 11) the hands of the employees who skewered the fillets, 12) the pre-frozen ready-to-eat chicken döner, and, 13) the post-frozen product.

### Methods

Microbiological analysis

For the microbiological analyses, 25-g samples of chicken döner were weighed out aseptically, 225 mL of a sterile buffered peptone water (Oxoid CM0509) were added, and the mixture was homogenized in a Stomacher blender (Masticator, IUL Instruments, Spain) for 60 s at room temperature  $(20^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}C)$ . Decimal dilutions of the buffered peptone water solution were prepared and duplicated, and 1 or 0.1 mL of at least three appropriate dilutions were mixed or spread on the following agar media: Plate Count Agar (PCA; Oxoid CMO325) for total viable (TV) and psychrotroph counts, incubated at 35°C for two days and at 7°C for seven days, respectively (BERRUGA et al., 2005); de Man-Rogosa-Sharp medium (MRS: Oxoid CM0361) for lactic acid bacteria, overlaid with the same medium and incubated at 37°C for 48 h and Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol Agar (RBC; Oxoid CM 549 supplemented with SR 78) for yeasts and molds, incubated at 25°C for five days (COULIARA et al., 2007); Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar (VRBGA; Merck 1.10275) for Enterobacteriacea counts, incubated at 37°C for 24 h (GOVARIS et al., 2007); Tryptone Bile X-glucuronide Agar (TBX - Oxoid, CM0945) medium for E. coli counts (COLAK et al., 2008), incubated at 37°C for 48 h; Streptomycin Thallous Acetate Actidione Agar (STAA, Oxoid CM0881 supplemented with SR0151) for Brochothrix thermosphacta, incubated at 22°-25°C for 48-72 h (LIN and LIN, 2002). Presumptive Staphylococcus aureus were determined on Baird-Parker Agar base

(Oxoid CM0275) enriched with Egg Yolk Tellurite (SR0054) and plates were incubated aerobically at 35°C for 2 d. For the detection of Salmonella spp., 25 g of sample was inoculated for preenrichment in 225 mL of buffered peptone water, and homogenized in a stomacher blender and incubated at 35°-37°C. After 16-20 h, the pre-enrichment culture was transferred into Rappaport Vassiliadis Enrichment Broth (Oxoid CM0669), and Tetrathionate Broth (Oxoid CM0029) base media with a ratio of 0.1/10 and 1/10, incubated at  $42^{\circ}$ -43°C for 24 h, and 37°C for 24 h, respectively. A loopful of these two enrichments were streaked onto Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate Agar, (Oxoid CM0469) and MacConkey Agar (Oxoid CM0007) for selective growth, and were incubated at 35°-37°C for 18-24 h. The plates were examined for the presence of typical suspect colonies of Salmonella, i.e. pink colonies with or without black centers on XLD Agar, and colorless colonies on MacConkey Agar. Presumptive Salmonella colonies were then subjected to initial screening tests using Triple Sugar Iron Agar (Oxoid CM0277), Lysine Iron Agar (Merck, 1.11640.0500), Urea Broth (Merck, 1.08483.0500), and Lysine Decarboxylase Broth (Oxoid, CM308). All biochemical tests were performed at 37°C for 18-24 h. Positives were confirmed serologically utilizing Salmonella O grouping antisera (TEMELLI et al., 2006; ÇOLAK et al., 2008). Swab methods were used for the microbiological analyses of the meat collection tray, the cutting board and knife (EISEL et al., 1998). Glove methods were used for the employees' hands (DE WIT and KAMPELMACHER, 1988). All samples were analyzed in duplicate, and the results were averaged for statistical analysis. Analyses were conducted separately on the materials from each package. All microbial counts were expressed as base-10 logarithms of colony forming (log CFU, g<sup>-1</sup> or mL or swabbed sample), except Salmonella spp. In this study, the presence of Salmonella spp. was assessed using 25-g samples and the minimum detectable level from presence/absence test is typically one organism in a 25-g sample.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS 16.0 Professional Statistics package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to determine mean ± standard deviation values of the microbiological counts.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the microbiological analysis showed: 4.45, 5.04, and 3.89 log CFU/g total viable count (TVC); 3.35, 3.61, and 3.88 log CFU/g psychrotrophs; 5.33, 4.12, and 3.50 log CFU/g total yeast and mold; 2.79, 3.67, and 2.68 log CFU/g *Enterobacteriaceae* for the raw chicken breast meat, the fillets, and the ready-to-eat frozen "Chicken Döner," respectively (Table 1).

Samples	Total viable count <sup>a b</sup>	Psychrotrophs	Lactic acid bacteria	B. thermosphacta	Enterobacteriaceae	E. coli	Total yeast-mold	S. aureus
Raw chicken breast meat-bone	4.45±0.07	3.35±0.02	2.96±0.07	2.26±0.09	2.79±0.17	2.11±0.07	5.33±0.02	3.79±0.17
Fillet	5.04±0.02	3.61±0.06	2.66±0.06	2.13±0.15	3.67±0.12	2.34±0.06	4.12±0.41	3.75±0.24
Sauce	6.35±0.09	2.80±0.09	6.04±0.13	1.00±0.00	2.79±0.17	2.41±0.15	4.44±0.05	1.00±0.00
Marinated fillet	4.83±0.06	3.07±0.12	4.23±0.21	3.12±0.07	2.70±0.25	2.47±0.10	3.44±0.19	3.69±0.32
Fillet in sauce pre-massaging	4.89±0.06	3.24±0.05	4.23±0.18	3.24±0.32	3.83±0.21	2.73±0.02	3.26±0.32	3.29±0.05
Fillet in sauce post-massaging	4.97±0.04	3.49±0.09	4.26±0.04	3.37±0.16	3.92±0.05	2.90±0.09	3.29±0.45	3.32±0.09
Ready-to-eat döner pre-frozen	3.95±0.05	2.86±0.09	5.12±0.05	3.34±0.09	2.77±0.08	2.65±0.21	2.96±0.16	2.98±0.03
Ready-to-eat döner post-frozen	3.89±0.07	3.88±0.16	3.46±0.02	3.29±0.05	2.68±0.04	2.46±0.26	3.50±0.02	2.80±0.23
<sup>a</sup> Mean (± standard deviation) counts d	etermined samples; <sup>b</sup> log	g CFU/g for chicken döner k	ebab samples and sa	uce.				

Also, the TVC in fillets with sauce after marination (4.83 log CFU/g) were similar to raw chicken breast meat, although the sauce had a TVC that was considered high (6.35 log CFU/g). It was thought that because the marination was conducted in cold conditions (+4°C), this restricted the increase of TVC.

According to OKONKWO et al. (1994), unhygienic processing conditions and/or recontamination due to poor post-processing handling are usually responsible for the high viable counts of organisms in meat products. The influence of environmental sanitation on the microbial population is a highly significant factor that affects the quality of meat products. "Chicken Döner" have higher aerobic plate counts and psychrotrophic bacteria counts than beef döners, therefore, these could be a potential hazard for public health because of low hygienic quality (KAYIŞOGLU et al., 2003). Bacterial counts (aerobes, Salmonella spp., E. coli) are higher on the breast area of broiler carcasses than on the thigh and drum areas. In addition, some microorganisms, particularly Salmonella spp., attach to the skin of the poultry and they are difficult to remove (KOTULA and DAVIS, 1999). A great risk may be incurred from these pathogens if chicken skin is added to the chicken döners (VAZGEÇER et al., 2004). Also, hygienic quality is expected because of the normal prevailing conditions in the processing sites and the personal hygiene of the processors. This situation was explained by ELMALI et al. (2005), and AB-DULLAHI et al. (2006), indicating that contamination of meat products can occur due to inadequate cleaning of processing equipment, such as the meat chopping board, knife, and the meat collecting tray, infected or unhygienic status of the handlers, and contamination from raw beef, among others.

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and Brochothrix thermosphacta are found to be significant components of the microflora of products stored at refrigeration temperatures, and both have been associated with the spoilage of meat and meat products (STOLLE et al., 1993; SAMELIS et al., 2000; CAYRE et al., 2005). STOLLE et al. (1993) reported that LAB counts ranged from less than 2.30 log CFU/g to 7.32 log CFU/g in döner. In this study herein, the LAB count in raw meat  $(2.96\pm0.07 \log CFU/g)$  was approximately two log units lower than marinated fillet (4.23±0.21  $\log CFU/g$ ). It was thought that the use of sauce that contained yoghurt was responsible for increasing the count of LAB in the fillets with sauce after marination. Also, LAB counts were increased in the ready-to-eat, pre-frozen chicken döner due to cross-contamination caused by the staff, and the board that was used to skewer the fillets. However, there was no change in the counts of B. thermosphacta in the stages of the process. Nevertheless, it was identified that LAB counts were decreased after freezing. It is

thought that LAB were subjected to adverse conditions, such as water crystallization and low temperatures, producing a degree of protein denaturation and bacterial membrane injury, with consequent decrease in viability and loss of reproductive capability, similar to that reported by DE GIULIO *et al.* (2005)

Species of *Enterobacteriacea*e, such as *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*, as well as gram-positives such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, may be found in considerable numbers in "Döners" and may create a high potential risk of foodborne diseases for consumers (KAYISOGLU *et al.*, 2003; VAZGEC-ER *et al.*, 2004; ELMALI *et al.*, 2005; ARUN *et al.*, 2007). Among these, the presence of *E. coli* in both raw and cooked döners is a matter of particular concern (JOCKEL and STENGEL, 1984; EL-MALI *et al.*, 2005). Ensuring the microbial safety of meat and meat products is based on application of proper process hygiene, managed through a HACCP-based system.

The results of the microbiological analyses showed: 2.11, 2.34, and 2.46 log CFU/g E. coli counts, and 3.79, 3.75, and 2.80 log CFU/g S. aureus for the raw chicken breast meat, fillet, and ready-to-eat frozen chicken döners, respectively (Table 1). Among the investigated bacteria, the maximum counts calculated from [log CFU/g], i.e., 3.70 for E. coli, and 3.70 for S. aureus for the raw meat used for various types of döners were in compliance with the Turkish Food Codex (ANONYMOUS, 2006) and, therefore, the meat samples tested in this study could be considered to have good hygienic quality. Nevertheless, it is widely known that humans are the primary sources of contamination in most of the poisonings caused by S. aureus, where its growth is inhibited at temperatures under 5°C. In the EU, verification of the hygienic functioning of the manufacturing process for meat/meat products is done through microbiological testing to determine whether process hygiene indicator organisms ("aerobic colony count" and/or E. coli count) are within given acceptable ranges (ANONYMOUS, 2005). The detection of these bacteria in raw chicken meat is a very important indicator of the cross-contamination caused by staff during the production process.

Results of the microbiological analysis of samples collected from equipment and the hands of personnel revealed that counts were notably high from the aspect of total viable count, *E. coli*, and *S. aureus* (Table 2). This may be an indication of insufficient sanitary applications in the plant.

Salmonella spp., which can cause infections even with low counts (1-10 cell/g), have been reported to form the natural microflora in poultry farms, where they are raised, and therefore, can be a risk factor for humans and animals. In our study, all samples taken from the various stages of production were assumed to have *Salmonella* colonies, but these were not detected in the samples by serological tests. Similar

e,
8
Ę.
S
ö
Ξ
2
2
Ā
<u> </u>
5.
9
ğ
-0
S.
Ч
н
Ę
E.
ä
Ц
j.
X
<u> </u>
5
5
<u> </u>
н
0
,н
Ŧ
σ
Ę.
G
õ
0
c
<u>.</u>
CΛ
×
<u> </u>
$\Delta$
11
~
Ŀ
70
ð
- SO
g
ä
ц
uo
tion
ation
nation
nination
mination
amination
ntamination
ontamination
contamination
e contamination
le contamination
ible contamination
sible contamination
ssible contamination
ossible contamination
possible contamination
of possible contamination
of possible contamination
s of possible contamination
ses of possible contamination
yses of possible contamination
ulyses of possible contamination
alyses of possible contamination
unalyses of possible contamination
analyses of possible contamination
al analyses of possible contamination
cal analyses of possible contamination
gical analyses of possible contamination
ogical analyses of possible contamination
logical analyses of possible contamination
iological analyses of possible contamination
biological analyses of possible contamination
obiological analyses of possible contamination
robiological analyses of possible contamination
icrobiological analyses of possible contamination
nicrobiological analyses of possible contamination
microbiological analyses of possible contamination
e microbiological analyses of possible contamination
he microbiological analyses of possible contamination
<sup>c</sup> the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
ts of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
ilts of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
ults of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
sults of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
- Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
2 - Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
2 - Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
le 2 - Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
ble 2 - Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination
able 2 - Results of the microbiological analyses of possible contamination

ó

Samples	Total	Psychrotrophs	Lactic acid	B. thermosphacta	Enterobacteriaceae	E. coli	Total	S. aureus
	viable count <sup>a, b</sup>		bacteria				yeast-mold	
Hands of staff-meat chopping	4.44±0.03	2.96±0.08	1.00±0.00	3.28±0.03	1.00±0.00	1.00±0.00	4.95±0.03	3.39±0.05
Meat cutting board and knife	4.49±0.04	3.34±0.12	1.00±0.00	3.30±0.14	2.82±0.05	2.31±0.03	4.23±0.12	1.00±0.00
Meat carriage-collecting tray	4.04±0.05	4.65±0.04	1.00±0.00	1.00±0.00	1.00±0.00	1.00±0.00	5.20±0.08	1.00±0.00
Board of skewering fillets	3.59±0.09	3.04±0.03	3.02±0.09	3.46±0.06	1.74±0.35	1.00±0.00	1.00±0.00	1.00±0.00
Hands of staff-skewering fillets	5.42±0.03	3.72±0.05	4.09±0.16	3.34±0.02	2.16±0.12	1.00±0.00	2.96±0.04	3.24±0.12
ª Mean (± standard deviation) counts determine	ed processing stages;	° CFU/swabbed sample fo	r collection tray, cutti	ng board and knife; CFU/	mL for staff hands.			

results were reported for "Döners" by VAZGEC-ER *et al.* (2004).

In this study, yeast and mold count, which were at high levels, had decreased from 5.33 to 3.44 log cfu/g after marination. After this processing stage, the population of yeast-mold occurred steadily throughout the frozen periods (Tables 1 and 2). The high levels of yeast and mold counts before marination, can be related to contamination from the raw material, and poor hygiene applied in the plant. It was also thought that yeast and mold contamination is a problem in meat production and to avoid problems with these, it is important to monitor the hygienic quality of the environmental air and equipment, and follow up with corrective actions to prevent further contamination.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the critical appraisal of the chicken döner production process, some of the potential safety and quality hazards should be appropriately controlled. Potential hazards associated with the production line and considerations include: i) the raw material could contribute to the possible growth of pathogenic bacteria, so it should be purchased only from reliable suppliers; and ii) the production process is subjected to significant contamination from both human and environmental sources, such as the cutting board and knife, the meat collecting tray, and the hands of the staff; therefore strict compliance with hygienic regulations, such as those required by the HACCP (Hazard Analytical Critical Control Points) and GMP (Good Manufacturing Practice) programs is very important at all stages, from the production to the consumption of chicken döner.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdullahi I.O., Umoh V.J., Ameh J.B. and Galadima M. 2006. Some hazards associated with the production of a popular roasted meat (*tsire*) in Zaria, Nigeria. Food Control. 17: 348.
- Anonymous. 1995. Raw Doner Meat (TS 11859), Institute of Turkish Standards (Türk Standardları Enstitüsü), Ankara, Turkey (in Turkish).
- Anonymous. 2005. Regulation (EC) N. 2073/2005 o of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteria 2005. Official Journal of the European Communities 22.12.2005. L 338. p1.
- Anonymous. 2006. Çiğ Kırmızı Et ve Hazırlanmış Kırmızı Et Karışımları Tebliği. Türk Gıda Kodeksi, 2006/31 (in Turkish).
- Arun O.O., Aydın A., Vural A., Ciftcioglu G. and Aksu H. 2007. Determination of *E. coli* O157 in raw and cooked Döner kebabs by using IMS technique. Medycyna Wet. 63: 1181.
- Berruga M.I., Vergara H. and Gallego L. 2005. Influence of packaging conditions on microbial and lipid oxidation in lamb meat. Small Ruminant Research. 57: 257.
- Cayré M., Garro O. and Vignolo G. 2005. Effect of storage temperature and gas permeability of packaging film on

the growth of lactic acid bacteria and *Brochothrix thermosphacta* in cooked meat emulsions. Food Microbiology. 22: 505.

- Chouliara E., Karatapanis A., Savvaidis I.N. and Kontominas M.G. 2007. Combined effect of oregano essential oil and modified atmosphere packaging on shelf-life extension of fresh chicken breast meat, stored at 4°C. Food Microbiology. 24: 607.
- Çolak H., Hampikyan H., Bingöl E.V. and Aksu H. 2008. The effect of nisin and bovine lactoferrin on the microbiological quality of turkish-style meatball (Tekirdağ Köfte). Journal of Food Safety. 28: 355.
- De Giulio B., Orlando P., Barba G., Coppola R., de Rosa M., Sada A., de Prisco P.P. and Nazzaro F. 2005. Use of alginate and cryo-protective sugars to improve the viability of lactic acid bacteria after freezing and freeze-drying. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 21:739.
- De Wit J.C. and Kampelmacher E.H. 1988. Some aspects of bacterial contamination of hands of workers in food service establishments. Zentralblatt fur Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie und Hygiene B. 186: 45.
- Eisel W.G., Linton L.H. and Muriana E.H. 1998. A survey of microbial levels for incoming raw beef, environmental sources and ground beef in a red meat processing plant. Food Microbiology. 14: 273.
- Elmali M., Ulukanli Z., Tuzcu M., Yaman H. and Cavli P. 2005. Microbiological quality of beef döner kebabs in Turkey. Archiv für Lebensmittelhygiene. 56: 32.
- Ergönül B. and Kundakcı A. 2007. Changes in quality attributes of turkey döner during frozen storage. Journal of Muscle Foods. 18: 285.
- Govaris A., Florou-Paneri P., Botsoglou E., Giannenas I., Amvrosiadis I. and Botsoelou N. 2007. The inhibitory potential of feed supplementation with rosemary and/or alpha-tocopheryl acetate on microbial growth and lipid oxidation of turkey breast during refrigerated storage. LWT-Food Science and Technology. 40: 331.
- Jockel J. and Stengel G. 1984. Döner Kebab: Untersuchung und beurteilung einer Turkischen Spezialitat. Fleischwirtschaft. 64: 527.
- Kayaardı S., Kundakçı A., Kayacıer A. and Gök V. 2005. Sen-

sory and chemical analysis of doner kebab made from Turkey meat. Journal of Muscle Foods. 17: 165.

- Kayahan M. and Welz W. 1992. Zur üblichkeit der spezialitat döner kebab erhebungen in Bremen. Archiv für Lebensmittelhygiene. 43: 121.
- Kayışoglu S., Yılmaz I., Demirci M. and Yetim H. 2003. Chemical composition and microbiological quality of the doner kebabs sold in Tekirdag market. Food Control. 14: 469.
- Kılıç B. 2003. Effect of microbial transglutaminase and sodium caseinate on quality of chicken doner kebab. Meat Science. 63: 417.
- Kotula K.L. and Davis M.E. 1999. Broiler skin sampling for optimum recovery of *Salmonella* spp. Journal of Food Protection. 62: 284.
- Lin K.W. and Lin S.N. 2002. Effects of sodium lactate and trisodium phosphate on the physicochemical properties and shelf life of low-fat Chinese-style sausages. Meat Science. 60: 147.
- Okonkwo T.M., Obanu Z.A. and Onwuka N.D. 1994. Quality characteristics, amino acids and fatty acid profile of some Nigerian traditional hot smoked meat product. Nigerian Food Journal. 12: 46.
- Samelis J., Kakouri A. and Rementzis J. 2000. Selective effect of the product type and the packaging conditions on the species of lactic acid bacteria dominating the spoilage microbial association of cooked meats at 4°C. Food Microbiology. 17: 329.
- Stolle A., Eisgruber H., Kerschhofer D. and Krausse G. 1993. Doner kebab untersuchungen zur verkehrsauffassung und microbiologischen-hygienischen beschaffenheit im raum München. Fleischwirtschaft. 73: 834.
- Temelli S., Dokuzlu C. and Sen M.K.C. 2006. Determination of microbiological contamination sources during frozen snail meat processing stages. Food Control. 17: 22.
- Todd E.C.D., Szabo R. and Spiring F. 1986. Donairs (gyros)potential hazards and control. Journal of Food Protection. 49: 369.
- Vazgeçer B., Ulu H. and Öztan A. 2004. Microbiological and chemical qualities of chicken doner kebab retailed on the Turkish restaurants. Food Control. 15: 261.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.