

On 2 - Quasi - Umbilical Pseudosymmetric Hypersurfaces in the Euclidean Space

Cihan Özgür

Balıkesir University , Faculty of Art and Sciences ,
Department of Mathematics , 10 145 Balıkesir , Turkey
cozgur @ balikesir . edu . tr

Abstract . In this paper , we investigate 2 - quasi - umbilical pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We find the curvature characterization of pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 53 C 40 , 53 C 42 , 53 C 25 , 53 B 50

Key words and phrases : Hypersurface , pseudosymmetry type manifolds .

1 . Introduction

Let (M, g) be an n - dimensional , $n \geq 3$, connected Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ . We denote by ∇, R, C, S and κ the Levi - Civita connection , the Riemann - Christoffel curvature tensor , the Weyl conformal curvature tensor , the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of (M, g) respectively . The Ricci operator S is defined by $g(SX, Y) = S(X, Y)$, where $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, $\chi(M)$ being Lie algebra of vector fields on M . We next define endomorphisms $X \wedge Y, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ of $\chi(M)$ by

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2}(X \wedge SY + SX \wedge Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}X \wedge Y)Z, \quad (1.3)$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

The Riemannian Christoffel curvature tensor R and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor C of (M, g) are defined by

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z, W), \quad (1.4)$$

$$C(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z, W), \quad (1.5)$$

respectively, where $W \in \chi(M)$.

For a $(0, k)$ - tensor field $T, k \geq 1$, on (M, g) we define the tensors $R \cdot T$ and $Q(g, T)$ by

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k) = -T(\mathcal{R}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)X_k), \quad (1.6)$$

$$Q(g, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) = -T((X \wedge Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge Y)X_k), \quad (1.7)$$

respectively .

If the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(g, R)$ are linearly dependent then M is called *pseudo-symmetric* . This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R) \quad (1.8)$$

holding on the set $U_R = \{x \mid Q(g, R) \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, where L_R is some function on U_R . If $R \cdot R = 0$ then M is called *s emisymmetric* (see Deszcz [3]) .

If the tensors $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent then M is called *Ricci - pseudosymmetric* . This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S) \quad (1.9)$$

holding on the set $U_S = \{x \mid S \neq \frac{\kappa}{n}g \text{ at } x\}$, where L_S is some function on U_S . Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Ricci pseudosymmetric but the converse statement is not true . If $R \cdot S = 0$ then M is called *Ricci - s emisymmetric* (see Deszcz [3]) .

If the tensors $R \cdot C$ and $Q(g, C)$ are linearly dependent then M is called *Weyl - pseudosymmetric* . This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C) \quad (1.10)$$

holding on the set $U_C = \{x \mid C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$. Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Weyl pseudosymmetric but the converse statement is not true . If $R \cdot C = 0$ then M is called *Weyl - s emisymmetric* (see Deszcz [3]) .

The manifold M is a *manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor* if and only if

$$C \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C) \quad (1.11)$$

holds on the set U_C , where L_C is some function on U_C (see Deszcz , Verstraelen , and Yaprak , [4]) . The tensor $C \cdot C$ is defined in the same way as the tensor $R \cdot R$.

2 . 2 - quasi umbilical hypersurfaces

Let M^n be an $n \geq 3$ dimensional connected hypersurface immersed isometrically in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi - Civita connections corresponding to \mathbb{E}^{n+1} and M^n , respectively . Let ξ be a local unit normal vector field on M^n in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We can present the Gauss formula and the Weingarten formula of M^n in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} of the form : $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi(X) + D_X \xi$, respectively , where X, Y are vector fields tangent to M^n and D is the normal connection of M^n (see Chen [2]) .

For the plane section $e_i \wedge e_j$ of the tangent bundle TM^n spanned by the vectors

e_i and $e_j (i \neq j)$ the scalar curvature of M^n is defined by $\kappa = \sum_{i,j=1}^n K(e_i \wedge e_j)$ where

$$i, j = 1$$

K denotes the sectional curvature of M^n . We denote by shortly $K_{rs} = K(e_r \wedge e_s)$.

Hypersurface M^n with three distinct principal curvatures, their multiplicities are 1, 1 and $n - 2$, is said to be 2 - quasi umbilical. So the shape operator of a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface is of the form

$$A_\xi = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurfaces are the extended class of quasi - umbilical hypersurfaces. It is well - known that a hypersurface M^n which has a principal curvature with multiplicity $\geq n - 1$ is said to be quasi - umbilical. The well - known result of E. Cartan gives us "A hypersurface $M^n, n \geq 4$, isometrically in the Euclidean space E^{n+1} , is conformally flat if and only if it is quasi umbilical". In Özgür [8], the present author studied conformally flat submanifolds with flat normal connection.

By (2.1) for a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface, one can get easily the following

corollaries :

Corollary 2.1. Let M^n be a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface of $E^{n+1}, n \geq 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{12} &= ab, \\ K_{K_{2j}^{1j}} &= abc, \quad (j > 2) \\ K_{ij} &= c^2, \quad (i, j > 2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $i, j > 2$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = 0$ if i, j and k are mutually different.

Theorem 2.1. [5]. Any 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface $M^n, \dim M^n \geq 4$, immersed

isometrically in a semi - Riemannian conformally flat manifold N is a manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor.

On the other hand, it is known that in a hypersurface M^n of a Riemannian space of constant curvature $N^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, if M^n is a Ricci - pseudosymmetric manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor then it is a pseudosymmetric manifold (see Deszcz, Verstraelen, and Yaprak [4]). Moreover from Arslan, Deszcz, and Yaprak [1], we know that, in a hypersurface M^n of a Riemannian space of constant curvature

$N^{n+1}(c), n \geq 4$, the Weyl pseudosymmetry and the pseudosymmetry conditions are equivalent. So using the previous facts and Theorem 2.1 one can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. In the class of 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space

$\mathbb{E}^{n+1}, n \geq 4$, the conditions of the pseudosymmetry, Ricci pseudosymmetry and Weyl pseudosymmetry are equivalent.

In Özgür and Arslan [9], the present author and K . Arslan studied pseudosymmetry type hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space satisfying Chen ' s equality . It is known that , a hypersurface satisfying Chen ' s equality is a special 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface .

In this study, our aim is to generalize the study $\ddot{O}_{zg^{\ddot{u}_r}}$ and Arslan [9] and to find the characterization of 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurfaces satisfying pseudosymmetry curvature condition. Since pseudosymmetry, Ricci - pseudosymmetry and Weyl - pseudosymmetry curvature conditions for a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , are equivalent, it is sufficient to investigate only pseudosymmetry curvature condition.

Firstly we have :

Lemma 2 . 1 . *Let M^n be a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = abc[c - a]e_2, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = abc[c - b]e_1. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof . Using (1 . 6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ &= \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 \\ &= \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1, e_3)e_2 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_1, \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = (A_\xi e_i \wedge A_\xi e_j)e_k$, using (2 . 2), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= -K_{13}e_3, & \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3 &= K_{13}e_1 \\ \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_1)e_1 &= K_{12}e_2, & \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_1)e_2 &= -K_{12}e_1 \\ \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= -K_{23}e_3, & \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3 &= K_{23}e_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

So substituting (2 . 7) and (2 . 2) into (2 . 5) and (2 . 6), respectively we obtain (2 . 3) and

(2 . 4). **Lemma 2 . 2 .** *Let M be a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) = b[c - a]e_2, \quad (2.8)$$

$$Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) = a[c - b]e_1. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof . Using the relation (1 . 7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) \\ &= (e_1 \wedge e_3)\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}((e_1 \wedge e_3)e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ & \quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, (e_1 \wedge e_3)e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)((e_1 \wedge e_3)e_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) \\ &= (e_2 \wedge e_3) \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) e_2 - \mathcal{R}((e_2 \wedge e_3) e_2, e_3) e_2 \\ & - \mathcal{R}(e_2, (e_2 \wedge e_3) e_3) e_2 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)((e_2 \wedge e_3) e_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

So substituting (2.7) and (2.2) into (2.10) and (2.11), respectively we obtain (2.8) and (2.9).

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we have the following theorem :

Theorem 2.2. *Let M^n be a 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , $n \geq 4$. Then*

M^n is proper pseudosymmetric if and only if $a = b$ and $L_R = ac$ holds on M^n .

Proof. Let M^n be a pseudosymmetric hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . Then by definition one can write

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = L_R Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = L_R Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3). \quad (2.13)$$

Since M^n is 2 - quasi - umbilical then by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 the equations (2.12) and (2.13) turn into respectively

$$b(c - a)(L_R - ac) = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$a(c - b)(L_R - bc) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

respectively. Extracting the equations (2.14) and (2.15) we get

$$cL_R(b - a) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Since M^n is proper pseudosymmetric, it is not semisymmetric. Then the equation (2.16) gives us $b = a$. So the principal curvatures of M^n must be of the form (a, a, c, \dots, c) , which gives us

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = a^2 c [c - a] e_2, \quad (2.17)$$

$$Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) = a [c - a] e_2, \quad (2.18)$$

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = a^2 c [c - a] e_1, \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) = a [c - a] e_1. \quad (2.20)$$

So from (2.17) - (2.18) and (2.19) - (2.20) we obtain $L_R = ac$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] K . Arslan , R . Deszcz and Ş . Yaprak , On Weyl pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces , *Colloq . Math* . **72** (2) (1 997) , 353 – 36 1 . [2] B . Chen , *Geometry of Submanifolds and its Applications* , Sci . Univ . Tokyo , Tokyo , 1 98 1 . [3] R . Deszcz , On pseudosymmetric spaces , *Bull . Soc . Math . Belg . S é r . A* **44** (1) (1 992) , 1 – 34 . [4] R . Deszcz , L . Verstraelen and Ş . Yaprak , On hypersurfaces with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor , in *Geometry and topology of submanifolds , VIII (Brussels , 1 995 / Nordfjordeid , 1 995)* , 1 1 1 – 1 20 , World Sci . Publ . , River Edge , NJ . [5] R . Deszcz , L . Verstraelen and Ş . Yaprak , On 2 - quasi - umbilical hypersurfaces in conformally flat spaces , *Acta Math . Hungar* . **78** (1 – 2) (1 998) , 45 – 57 .

- [6] R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and S. Yaprak, Hypersurfaces with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor in conformally flat manifolds, in *Geometry and topology of submanifolds, IX (Valenciennes / Lyon / Leuven, 1997)*, 108–117, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ.
- [7] F. Dillen, M. Petrović and L. Verstraelen, Einstein, conformally flat and semi-symmetric submanifolds satisfying Chen's equality, *Israel J. Math.* **10** (1997), 163–169.
- [8] C. Özgür, Submanifolds satisfying some curvature conditions imposed on the Weyl tensor, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **67** (1) (2003), 95–101.
- [9] C. Özgür and K. Arslan, On some class of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} satisfying Chen's equality, *Turkish J. Math.* **26** (3) (2002), 283–293.