M athematical I nequalities & A pplications SIMULTANEOUS AND CONVERSE APPROXIMATION THEOREMS IN WEIGHTED LEBESGUE SPACES

Article *in* Mathematical Inequalities and Applications · March 2014

2 authors:

Yunus Emre Yıldırır
Balikesir University

Daniyal M. Israfilov
Balikesir University

Mathematical
Inequalities
& Applications
Volume 14, Number 2 (2011), 359–371

SIMULTANEOUS AND CONVERSE APPROXIMATION THEOREMS IN WEIGHTED LEBESGUE SPACES

YUNUS E. YILDIRIR AND DANIYAL M. ISRAFILOV

(*Communicated by J. Marshall Ash*)

Abstract. In this paper we deal with the simultaneous and converse approximation by trigonometric polynomials of the functions in the Lebesgue spaces with weights satisfying so called Muckenhoupt's A_p condition.

1. Introduction and the main results

Let $\mathbf{T} := [-\pi,\pi]$. A positive almost everywhere (a.e.), integrable function $w : \mathbf{T} \to [0,\infty]$ is called as a weight function. With any given weight w we associate the w-weighted Lebesgue space $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$ consisting of all measurable functions f on $\mathbf{T}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p_w(\mathbf{T})} = \|fw\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T})} < \infty.$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. A weight function w belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $A_p(\mathbf{T})$ if

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^p(x)dx\right)^{1/p}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^{-q}(x)dx\right)^{1/q} \leqslant c$$

with a finite constant c independent of I, where I is any subinterval of $\mathbf{T}$ and $|I|$ denotes the length of I.

For formulation of the new results we will begin with some required informations. Let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k \sin kx - b_k \cos kx)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 41A10, 42A10.
Keywords and phrases: Best approximation,weighted Lebesgue space, mean modulus of smoothness, fractional derivative.

be the Fourier and the conjugate Fourier series of $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, respectively. In addition, we put

$$S_n(x,f) := \sum_{k=-n}^{n} c_k e^{ikx} = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx), \quad n = 1,2,....$$

By $L_0^1(\mathbf{T})$ we denote the class of $L^1(\mathbf{T})$ functions f for which the constant term c_0 in (1) equals zero. If $\alpha > 0$, then α*-th integral* of $f \in L_0^1(\mathbf{T})$ is defined as

$$I_\alpha(x,f) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} c_k (ik)^{-\alpha} e^{ikx},$$

where $(ik)^{-\alpha} := |k|^{-\alpha} e^{(-1/2)\pi i \alpha \operatorname{sign} k}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^* := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, ...\}$.

For $\alpha \in (0,1)$ let

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) := \frac{d}{dx} I_{1-\alpha}(x,f),$$

$$f^{(\alpha+r)}(x) := \left(f^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)^{(r)} = \frac{d^{r+1}}{dx^{r+1}} I_{1-\alpha}(x,f)$$

if the right hand sides exist, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ := \{1,2,3,...\}$ [14, p. 347].

By c, $c(\alpha,...)$ we denote the absolute constants or the constants whose values depend only on the parameters given in their brackets.

Let $x,t \in \mathbb{R} := (-\infty,\infty)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+ := (0,\infty)$ and let

$$\triangle_t^r f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k [C_k^r] f(x + (r-k)t), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}), \tag{2}$$

where $[C_k^r] := \frac{r(r-1)...(r-k+1)}{k!}$ for $k > 1$, $[C_k^r] := r$ for $k = 1$ and $[C_k^r] := 1$ for $k = 0$.

Since [14, p. 14]

$$|[C_k^r]| = \left| \frac{r(r-1)...(r-k+1)}{k!} \right| \leqslant \frac{c(r)}{k^{r+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

we have

$$C(r) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |[C_k^r]| < \infty,$$

and therefore $\triangle_t^r f(x)$ is defined a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, the series in (2) converges absolutely a.e. and $\triangle_t^r f(x)$ is measurable [16].

If $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then the fractional difference $\triangle_t^r f(x)$ coincides with usual forward difference.

Now let

$$\sigma_\delta^r f(x) := \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |\triangle_t^r f(x)|\, dt, \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

for $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T}),\ w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$. Since the series in (2) converges absolutely a.e., we have $\sigma_\delta^r f(x) < \infty$ a.e. and using boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function [13] in $L_w^p(\mathbf{T}),\ w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, we get

$$\left\| \sigma_\delta^r f(x) \right\|_{L_w^p} \leqslant c(p,r) \|f\|_{L_w^p} < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Hence, if $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T}),\ 1 < p < \infty$, we can define the *r-th mean modulus of smoothness* of a function $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ as

$$\Omega_r(f,h)_{L_w^p} := \sup_{|\delta| \leqslant h} \left\| \sigma_\delta^r f(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}.$$

If $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $\Omega_r(f,h)_{L_w^p}$ coincides with Ky's mean modulus of smoothness, defined in [9].

REMARK 1. Let $f,\ f_1,\ f_2 \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T}),\ w \in A_p(\mathbf{T}),\ 1 < p < \infty$. The r-th mean modulus of smoothness $\Omega_r(f,h)_{L_w^p},\ r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, has the following properties:
(i) $\Omega_r(f,h)_{L_w^p}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing function of $h \geqslant 0$.
(ii) $\Omega_r(f_1+f_2,\cdot)_{L_w^p} \leqslant \Omega_r(f_1,\cdot)_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(f_2,\cdot)_{L_w^p}$.
(iii) $\lim\limits_{h\to 0} \Omega_r(f,h)_{L_w^p} = 0$.

The best approximation of $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ in the class Π_n of trigonometric polynomials of degree not exceeding n is defined by

$$E_n(f)_{L_w^p} = \inf\left\{ \|f - T_n\|_{L_w^p} : T_n \in \Pi_n \right\}.$$

A polynomial $T_n(x,f) := T_n(x)$ of degree n is said to be a *near best approximant* of f if

$$\|f - T_n\|_{L_w^p} \leqslant c(p) E_n(f)_{L_w^p},\ n = 0,1,2,....$$

Let $W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T}),\ \alpha > 0$, be the class of functions $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ such that $f^{(\alpha)} \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$. $W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T}),\ 1 < p < \infty,\ \alpha > 0$, becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T})} := \|f\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| f^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L_w^p}.$$

In this paper we deal with the simultaneous and converse approximation by trigonometric polynomials of the functions in the Lebesgue spaces with weights satisfying Muckenhoupt's A_p condition.

Our new results are the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let* $f \in W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T}),\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ := [0,\infty],\ 1 < p < \infty,$ *and* $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$. *If* $T_n \in \Pi_n$ *is a near best approximant of* f, *then*

$$\left\| f^{(\alpha)} - T_n^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \leqslant c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p},\ n = 0,1,2,....$$

with a constant $c = c(p,\alpha) > 0$.

This simultaneous approximation theorem in case of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, was proved in [3]. Detailed information on simultaneous weighted approximation can be found in the book [4].

THEOREM 2. *If* $f \in W^r_{p,w}(\mathbf{T})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, *then*

$$\Omega_r(f,h)_{L^p_w} \leqslant ch^r \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L^p_w}, \quad 0 < h \leqslant \pi$$

with a constant $c = c(p,r) > 0$.

In case of $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, for the usual nonweighted modulus of smoothness defined in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, this inequality was proved in [11] and for the general case $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ was obtained in [2] (See also [16]). In case of $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, this inequality in the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$ was proved in [9].

THEOREM 3. *Let* $f \in L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$. *Then for a given* $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, *and* $\gamma = \min\{2,p\}$

$$\Omega_r(f,\pi/(n+1))_{L^p_w} \leqslant \frac{c}{(n+1)^r} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+1)^{r\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L^p_w} \right)^{1/\gamma}$$

with a constant c *independent of* n *and* f.

In the space $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, this inequality was proved in [16] without γ. In case of $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in the spaces $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$, $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, this theorem was proved in [9] without γ. For the positive and even integer r this theorem in spaces $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$, $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, by using Butzer-Wehrens's type modulus of smoothness was obtained in [5]. The analogues of some classical theorems for best polynomial approximation in weighted spaces with doubling weights were proved in [12].

THEOREM 4. *Let* $f \in L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$. *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L^p_w} < \infty$$

for $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$ *and* $\gamma = \min\{2,p\}$, *then* $f \in W^\alpha_{p,w}(\mathbf{T})$ *and the estimate*

$$E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w} \leqslant c \left\{ n^\alpha E_n(f)_{L^p_w} + \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L^p_w} \right)^{1/\gamma} \right\} \tag{4}$$

holds with a constant c *independent of* n *and* f.

In the space $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, this inequality for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ was proved without γ in [15]. In case of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, in $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$, $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, an inequality of type (4) was proved in [7].

COROLLARY 1. *Let* $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ *and* $r > 0$. *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} < \infty$$

for $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$ *and* $\gamma = \min\{2,p\}$, *then* $f \in W_{p,w}^{\alpha}$ *and for* $n = 0,1,2,\dots$

$$\Omega_r(f^{(\alpha)}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leqslant \frac{c}{(n+1)^r} \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{(\alpha+r)\gamma-1} E_{k-1}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{1/\gamma} + \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{1/\gamma} \right\}$$

with a constant c *independent of* n *and* f.

In cases of $\alpha,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\alpha,\ r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, this corollary in the spaces $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, was proved without γ in [18] (See also [15]) and in [17], respectively. For the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, when $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$, and $\alpha,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, similar type inequality was obtained using generalized modulus of continuity for the derivatives of $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ in [7].

2. Auxiliary results

LEMMA 1. *Let* $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ *and* $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 < p < \infty$. *If* $T_n \in \Pi_n$, $n \geqslant 1$, *then there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *depends only on* r *and* p *such that*

$$\Omega_r(T_n, h)_{L_w^p} \leqslant c h^r \left\| T_n^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}, \quad 0 < h \leqslant \pi/n.$$

Proof. Since

$$\triangle_t^r T_n\left(x - \frac{[r]}{2}t\right) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \left(2i \sin\frac{t}{2}\nu\right)^r c_\nu e^{i\nu x},$$

$$\triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}\left(x - \frac{[r]}{2}t\right) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \left(2i \sin\frac{t}{2}\nu\right)^{[r]} (i\nu)^{r-[r]} c_\nu e^{i\nu x}$$

with $\mathbb{Z}_n^* := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$, $[r] \equiv$ integer part of r, putting

$$\varphi(z) := \left(2i \sin\frac{t}{2}z\right)^{[r]} (iz)^{r-[r]},\ g(z) := \left(\frac{2}{z}\sin\frac{t}{2}z\right)^{r-[r]}, \quad -n \leqslant z \leqslant n,\ g(0) := t^{r-[r]},$$

we get

$$\triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}\left(x - \frac{[r]}{2}t\right) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \varphi(\nu) c_\nu e^{i\nu x},\ \ \triangle_t^r T_n\left(x - \frac{[r]}{2}t\right) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \varphi(\nu) g(\nu) c_\nu e^{i\nu x}.$$

Taking into account the fact that [16]

$$g(z)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k e^{ik\pi z/n}$$

uniformly in $[-n,n]$, with $d_0>0,\ (-1)^{k+1}d_k \geqslant 0,\ d_{-k}=d_k\ \ (k=1,2,...)$, we have

$$\triangle_t^r T_n(\cdot)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k \triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t\right).$$

Consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta |\triangle_t^r T_n(\cdot)|\,dt\right\|_{L_w^p} &= \left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \left|\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k \triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t\right)\right| dt\right\|_{L_w^p}\\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \left|\triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t\right)\right| dt\right\|_{L_w^p}\end{aligned}$$

and since [19, p. 103]

$$\triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}(\cdot)=\int_0^t \dots \int_0^t T_n^{(r)}(\cdot+t_1+\dots t_{[r]})dt_1\dots dt_{[r]}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_r(T_n,h)_{L_w^p} &\leqslant \sup_{|\delta|\leqslant h}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \left|\triangle_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t\right)\right| dt\right\|_{L_w^p}\\ &= \sup_{|\delta|\leqslant h}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \left|\int_0^t \dots\int_0^t T_n^{(r)}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t+t_1+\dots t_{[r]}\right)dt_1\dots dt_{[r]}\right| dt\right\|_{L_w^p}\\ &\leqslant h^{[r]}\sup_{|\delta|\leqslant h}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\delta^{[r]}}\int_0^\delta \dots\int_0^\delta \left|T_n^{(r)}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t+t_1+\dots t_{[r]}\right)\right| dt_1\dots dt_{[r]}dt\right\|_{L_w^p}\\ &\leqslant h^{[r]}\sup_{|\delta|\leqslant h}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\delta^{[r]}}\int_0^\delta \dots\int_0^\delta \left\{\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \left|T_n^{(r)}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t+t_1+\dots t_{[r]}\right)\right| dt\right\} dt_1\dots dt_{[r]}\right\|_{L_w^p}\\ &\leqslant c(p,r)h^{[r]}\sup_{|\delta|\leqslant h}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta \left|T_n^{(r)}\left(\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}t\right)\right| dt\right\|_{L_w^p}\\ &\leqslant c(p,r)h^{[r]}\sup_{|\delta|\leqslant h}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}|d_k|\left\|\frac{1}{\frac{r-[r]}{2}\delta}\int_{\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}}^{\cdot+\frac{k\pi}{n}+\frac{r-[r]}{2}\delta} \left|T_n^{(r)}(u)\right| du\right\|_{L_w^p}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand [16]

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| < 2g(0) = 2t^{r-[r]}, \quad 0 < t \leqslant \pi/n$$

and for $0 < t < \delta < h \leqslant \pi/n$ we have

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| < 2g(0) = 2h^{r-[r]}.$$

Therefore the boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$ implies that

$$\Omega_r(T_n,h)_{L^p_w} \leqslant c(p,r)h^r \left\| T_n^{(r)} \right\|_{L^p_w}.$$

By similar way for $0 < -h \leqslant \pi/n$, the same inequality also holds and the proof of Lemma 1 is completed. □

3. Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1. We set

$$W_n(f) := W_n(x,f) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} S_\nu(x,f),\ n = 0,1,2,....$$

Since

$$W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) = W_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,f) \right\|_{L^p_w} &\leqslant \left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L^p_w} + \left\| T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,f) \right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &+ \left\| W_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,f) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) \right\|_{L^p_w} =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $T_n^*(x,f)$ the best approximating polynomial of degree at most n to f in $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$. In this case, from the boundedness of in $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leqslant \left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L^p_w} + \left\| T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w} + \left\| W_n(\cdot, T_n^*(f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L^p_w} \leqslant c(p,\alpha)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w}. \end{aligned}$$

By [10, Theorem 1]

$$I_2 \leqslant c(p,\alpha)n^\alpha \left\| T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot,f) \right\|_{L^p_w}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leqslant c(p,\alpha)(2n)^{\alpha}\left\|W_n(\cdot,f)-T_n(\cdot,W_n(f))\right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p,\alpha)(2n)^{\alpha}E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p_w}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|T_n(\cdot,W_n(f))-T_n(\cdot,f)\right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant \left\|T_n(\cdot,W_n(f))-W_n(\cdot,f)\right\|_{L^p_w}+\left\|W_n(\cdot,f)-f(\cdot)\right\|_{L^p_w}+\left\|f(\cdot)-T_n(\cdot,f)\right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p)E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p_w}+c(p)E_n(f)_{L^p_w}+c(p)E_n(f)_{L^p_w}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p_w}\leqslant c(p)E_n(f)_{L^p_w},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)-T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot,f)\right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p,\alpha)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w}+c(p)n^{\alpha}E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p_w}+c(p)n^{\alpha}E_n(f)_{L^p_w} \\ &\quad +c(p,\alpha)(2n)^{\alpha}E_n(W_n(f))_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p,\alpha)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w}+c(p,\alpha)n^{\alpha}E_n(f)_{L^p_w}. \end{aligned}$$

Since [1]

$$E_n(f)_{L^p_w}\leqslant \frac{c(p,\alpha)}{(n+1)^{\alpha}}E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w}, \tag{5}$$

we obtain

$$\left\|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)-T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\right\|_{L^p_w}\leqslant cE_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w}$$

and the proof is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $T_n\in\Pi_n$ be the trigonometric polynomial of the best approximation of f in $L^p_w(\mathbf{T})$ metric. By Remark 1 (*ii*), Lemma 1 and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f,h)_{L^p_w} &\leqslant \Omega_r(T_n,h)_{L^p_w}+\Omega_r(f-T_n,h)_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p,r)h^r\left\|T_n^{(r)}\right\|_{L^p_w}+c(p,r)E_n(f)_{L^p_w},\quad 0<h<\pi/n. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5), the direct inequality in [9, Theorem 2] and the inequality

$$\Omega_l(f,h)_{L^p_w}\leqslant ch^l\left\|f^{(l)}\right\|_{L^p_w},\ \ f\in W^l_{p,w}(\mathbf{T}),l=1,2,3,...,$$

given in [9, Theorem 1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f)_{L^p_w} &\leqslant \frac{c(p,r)}{(n+1)^{r-[r]}}E_n(f^{(r-[r])})_{L^p_w}\leqslant \frac{c(p,r)}{(n+1)^{r-[r]}}\Omega_{[r]}\left(f^{(r-[r])},\frac{2\pi}{n+1}\right)_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant \frac{c(p,r)}{(n+1)^{r-[r]}}\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+1}\right)^{[r]}\left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{L^p_w}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1 we find

$$\left\|T_n^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p} \leqslant \left\|T_n^{(r)} - f^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p} + \left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p}$$
$$\leqslant c(p,r)E_n(f^{(r)})_{L_w^p} + \left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p} \leqslant c(p,r)\left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p}.$$

Choosing h with $\pi/(n+1) < h \leqslant \pi/n,\ (n = 1,2,3,...)$, we obtain

$$\Omega_r(f,h)_{L_w^p} \leqslant c(p,r)h^r \left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p}$$

and we are done. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Let S_n be the $n-th$ partial sum of the Fourier series of $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T}),\ w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Thanks to the Theorem of Hunt-Muckenhoupt-Wheeden [6], we obtain that the best approximation by trigonometric polynomials in $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ with $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ has the same order as deviation by the partial sum of the Fourier series. It means that for $\varphi \in L_w^p$

$$\|\varphi - S_n(\varphi)\|_{L_w^p} \leqslant cE_n(\varphi)_{L_w^p}$$

with a positive constant c independent on φ and n.

By Remark 1 (ii) and (3)

$$\Omega_r(f,\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leqslant \Omega_r(f - S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p}$$
$$\leqslant c(p,r)E_{2^m}(f)_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p}$$

and by Lemma 1,

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leqslant c(p,r)\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^r \left\|S_{2^m}^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p}, \quad n+1 \geqslant 2^m.$$

Since

$$S_{2^m}^{(r)}(x) = S_1^{(r)}(x) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left\{S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right\},$$

we have

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leqslant c(p,r)\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^r \left\{\left\|S_1^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p} + \left\|\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left[S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)} - S_{2^\nu}^{(r)}\right]\right\|_{L_w^p}\right\}. \quad (6)$$

Applying the weighted version of Littlewood-Paley's theorem [8] and following the method used in [7], we obtain for $1 < p \leqslant 2$

$$\left\|\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left[S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^\nu}^{(r)}(x)\right]\right\|_{L_w^p} = \left\|\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=2^\nu+1}^{2^{\nu+1}} B_{k,r}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}$$
$$\leqslant c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left|\sum_{k=2^\nu+1}^{2^{\nu+1}} k^r B_{k,r}(x)\right|_{L_w^p}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leqslant c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left\|\sum_{k=2^{\nu}+1}^{2^{\nu+1}}k^{r}B_{k,r}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$=c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left\|S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where $B_{k,r}(x)$ is the $r-th$ derivative of $(a_k\cos kx+b_k\sin kx)$, and for $p>2$

$$\left\|\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left[S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x)\right]\right\|_{L_w^p}=\left\|\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=2^{\nu}+1}^{2^{\nu+1}}k^{r}B_{k,r}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}$$

$$\leqslant c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left|\sum_{k=2^{\nu}+1}^{2^{\nu+1}}k^{r}B_{k,r}(x)\right|_{L_w^p}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leqslant c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left\|\sum_{k=2^{\nu}+1}^{2^{\nu+1}}k^{r}B_{k,r}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$=c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left\|S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\left\|\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left[S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x)\right]\right\|_{L_w^p}\leqslant c\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\left\|S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}^{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},\quad \gamma=\min\{p,2\}.$$

Hence, by [10, Theorem 1], we get

$$\left\|S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}\leqslant c(p,r)2^{\nu r}\left\|S_{2^{\nu+1}}(x)-S_{2^{\nu}}(x)\right\|_{L_w^p}\leqslant c(p,r)2^{\nu r+1}E_{2^{\nu}}(f)_{L_w^p}$$

and

$$\left\|S_1^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p}=\left\|S_1^{(r)}-S_0^{(r)}\right\|_{L_w^p}\leqslant c(p,r)E_0(f)_{L_w^p}.$$

Then from (6) we have

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p}\leqslant c(p,r)\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{r}\left\{E_0(f)_{L_w^p}+\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}2^{(\nu+1)r\gamma}E_{2^{\nu}}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right\}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$2^{(\nu+1)r\gamma}E_{2^{\nu}}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p}\leqslant c(r)\sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^{\nu}}\mu^{\gamma r-1}E_{\mu}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p},\quad \nu=1,2,3,.... \tag{7}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L^p_w}\\
&\leqslant c(p,r)\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^r\left\{E_0(f)_{L^p_w}+2^rE_1(f)_{L^p_w}+c(r)\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1}\sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^\nu}\mu^{\gamma r-1}E^\gamma_\mu(f)_{L^p_w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right\}\\
&\leqslant c(p,r)\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^r\left\{E_0(f)_{L^p_w}+\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{2^m}\mu^{\gamma r-1}E^\gamma_\mu(f)_{L^p_w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right\}\\
&\leqslant c(p,r)\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^r\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1}(\nu+1)^{\gamma r-1}E^\gamma_\nu(f)_{L^p_w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose $2^m\leqslant n+1\leqslant 2^{m+1}$, then

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m},\pi/(n+1))_{L^p_w}\leqslant\frac{c(p,r)}{(n+1)^r}\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}(\nu+1)^{\gamma r-1}E^\gamma_\nu(f)_{L^p_w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Taking also the relation

$$E_{2^m}(f)_{L^p_w}\leqslant E_{2^{m-1}}(f)_{L^p_w}\leqslant\frac{c(p,r)}{(n+1)^r}\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}(\nu+1)^{\gamma r-1}E^\gamma_\nu(f)_{L^p_w}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

into account we obtain the required inequality of Theorem 3. □

Proof of Theorem 4. If T_n is the best approximating polynomial of f, then by [10, Theorem 1]

$$\left\|T^{(\alpha)}_{2^{m+1}}-T^{(\alpha)}_{2^m}\right\|_{L^p_w}\leqslant c(p,\alpha)2^{(m+1)\alpha}E_{2^m}(f)_{L^p_w}$$

and hence by this inequality, (7) and hypothesis of Theorem 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^\infty\|T_{2^{m+1}}-T_{2^m}\|_{W^\alpha_{p,w}(\mathbf{T})}&=\sum_{m=1}^\infty\|T_{2^{m+1}}-T_{2^m}\|_{L^p_w}+\sum_{m=1}^\infty\left\|T^{(\alpha)}_{2^{m+1}}-T^{(\alpha)}_{2^m}\right\|_{L^p_w}\\
&\leqslant c(p,\alpha)\sum_{m=1}^\infty 2^{(m+1)\alpha}E_{2^m}(f)_{L^p_w}\\
&\leqslant c(p,\alpha)\sum_{m=1}^\infty\sum_{j=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m}j^{\alpha-1}E_j(f)_{L^p_w}\\
&\leqslant c(p,\alpha)\sum_{j=2}^\infty j^{\alpha-1}E_j(f)_{L^p_w}<\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{m+1}} - T_{2^m}\|_{W^{\alpha}_{p,w}(\mathbf{T})} < \infty,$$

which implies that $\{T_{2^m}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{\alpha}_{p,w}(\mathbf{T})$. Since $T_{2^m} \to f$ in the Banach space $L^p_w(T)$, we have $f \in W^{\alpha}_{p,w}(\mathbf{T})$.

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L^p_w} &\leqslant \left\| f^{(\alpha)} - S_n f^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant \left\| S_{2^{m+2}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_n f^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L^p_w} + \left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \left[S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)} \right] \right\|_{L^p_w} . \end{aligned}$$

By [10, Theorem 1]

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| S_{2^{m+2}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_n f^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L^p_w} &\leqslant c(p,\alpha) 2^{(m+2)\alpha} E_n(f)_{L^p_w} \\ &\leqslant c(p,\alpha)(n+1)^{\alpha} E_n(f)_{L^p_w} \end{aligned}$$

for $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$.

On the other hand, following the method given in the proof of Theorem 3, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \left[S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)} \right] \right\|_{L^p_w} \leqslant c \left(\sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \left\| S^{(\alpha)}_{2^{k+1}}(x) - S^{(\alpha)}_{2^k}(x) \right\|^{\gamma}_{L^p_w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma = \min\{p,2\}$$

Since by [10, Theorem 1]

$$\left\| S^{(\alpha)}_{2^{k+1}}(x) - S^{(\alpha)}_{2^k}(x) \right\|_{L^p_w} \leqslant c(p,\alpha) 2^{k\alpha} \left\| S_{2^{k+1}}(x) - S_{2^k}(x) \right\|_{L^p_w} \leqslant c(p,\alpha) 2^{k\alpha+1} E_{2^k}(f)_{L^p_w},$$

we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \left[S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)} \right] \right\|_{L^p_w} \leqslant c \left(\sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} 2^{\gamma k\alpha+1} E^{\gamma}_{2^k}(f)_{L^p_w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} .$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \left[S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)} \right] \right\|_{L^p_w} \leqslant c \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma\alpha-1} E^{\gamma}_{k}(f)_{L^p_w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

for $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$. This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

[1] R. AKGÜN AND D. M. ISRAFILOV, *Approximation in weighted Orlicz spaces*, accepted for publication in Mathematica Slovaca.

[2] P. L. BUTZER, H. DYCKHOFF, E. GÖRLICH AND R. L. STENS, *Best trigonometric approximation, fractional order derivatives and Lipschitz classes*, Can. J. Math., **29**, 3 (1977), 781–793.

[3] J. CZIPSZER AND G. FREUD, *Sur l'approximation d'une fonktion périodique et de ses dérivées succesives par un polynome trigonometrique et par ses dérivées succesives*, Acta Math., **99** (1958), 33–51.

[4] Z. DITZIAN, V. TOTIK, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer Ser. Comput. Math. **9**, Springer, New York, 1987.

[5] E. A. HACIYEVA, *Investigation of the properties of functions with quasimonotone Fourier coefficients in generalized Nikolskii-Besov spaces*, (in Russian), Author's summary of candidate dissertation, Tbilisi, 1986.

[6] R. HUNT, B. MUCKENHOUPT AND R. WHEEDEN, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **176** (1973), 227–251.

[7] V. M. KOKILASHVILI, Y. E. YILDIRIR, *On the approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Proceedings of A. Razmadze Math. Inst., **143** (2007), 103–113.

[8] D. S. KURTZ, *Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theorems on weighted L^p spaces*, Trans. AMS, **259**, 1 (1980), 235–254.

[9] N. X. KY, *Moduli of mean smoothness and approximation with A_p-weights*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, **40** (1997), 37–48.

[10] *An Alexits's lemma and its applications in approximation theory. Functions, Series, Operators*, L. Leindler, F.Schipp, J. Szabados (eds.), Budapest, 2002, 287–296.

[11] A. MARCHAUD, *Sur les dérivées et sur les differences des fonctionsde variables réelles*, J. Math. Pures appl., **6** (1927), 337–425.

[12] G. MASTROIANNI AND V. TOTIK, *Weighted polynomial inequalities with doubling and A_∞ weights*, Constr. Approx., **16** (2000), 37–71.

[13] B. MUCKENHOUPT, *Weighted Norm Inequalities for the Hardy Maximal Function*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **165**, 1972, 207–226.

[14] S. G. SAMKO, A. A. KILBAS, AND O. I. MARICHEV, *Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1993.

[15] S. B. STECKIN, *On the order of the best approximations of continuous functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **15** (1951), 219–242.

[16] R. TABERSKI, *Differences, moduli and derivatives of fractional orders*, Comment. Math., **19** (1977), 389–400.

[17] *Two indirect approximation theorems*, Demonstratio Mathematica, **9**, 2 (1976), 243–255.

[18] A. F. TIMAN, *Investigation in the theory of approximation of functions*, Dissertation, Khar'kov, 1951.

[19] *Theory of appoximation of functions of a real variable*, Pergamon Press and MacMillan, 1963; Russian original published by Fizmatzig, Moscow, 1960.

(Received December 2, 2008)

Daniyal M. Isra?lov
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Art and Science
Balikesir University
10145, Balikesir
Turkey
ANAS Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics
e-mail: mdaniyal@balikesir.edu.tr

Yunus E. Yildirir
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Balikesir University
10100, Balikesir
Turkey
e-mail: yildirir@balikesir.edu.tr