TENSOR PRODUCT SURFACES WITH POINTWISE 1-TYPE GAUSS MAP

KADRI ARSLAN, BETÜL BULCA, BENGÜ KILIÇ, YOUNG HO KIM, CENGIZHAN MURATHAN, AND GÜNAY ÖZTÜRK

ABSTRACT. Tensor product immersions of a given Riemannian manifold was initiated by B.-Y. Chen. In the present article we study the tensor product surfaces of two Euclidean plane curves. We show that a tensor product surface M of a plane circle c_1 centered at origin with an Euclidean planar curve c_2 has harmonic Gauss map if and only if M is a part of a plane. Further, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a tensor product surface M of a plane circle c_1 centered at origin with an Euclidean planar curve c_2 to have pointwise 1-type Gauss map.

1. Introduction

Since the late 1970's, the study of submanifolds of Euclidean space or pseudo-Euclidean space with the notion of finite type immersion has been extensively carried out. An isometric immersion $x:M\to\mathbb{E}^m$ of a submanifold M in Euclidean m-space \mathbb{E}^m is said to be of finite type if x identified with the position vector field of M in \mathbb{E}^m can be expressed as a finite sum of eigenvectors of the Laplacian Δ of M, that is; $x=x_0+\sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ where x_0 is a constant map x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k non-constant maps such that $\Delta x=\lambda_i x_i,\ \lambda_i\in\mathbb{R},\ 1\leq i\leq k.$ If $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k$ are different, then M is said to be of k-type. Similarly, a smooth map ϕ of an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M of \mathbb{E}^m is said to be of finite type if ϕ is a finite sum of \mathbb{E}^m -valued eigenfunctions of Δ ([5], [6]). Granted, this notion of finite type immersion is naturally extended to the Gauss map G on M in Euclidean space ([9]). Thus, if a submanifold M of Euclidean space has 1-type Gauss map G, then G satisfies $\Delta G=\lambda(G+C)$ for some $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ and some constant vector C ([1], [2], [3], [14]). However, the Laplacian of the Gauss map of some typical well-known surfaces such as a helicoid, a catenoid and a

Received October 7, 2009.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C40, 53C42.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ tensor product immersion, Gauss map, finite type, pointwise 1-type.

Supported by KOSEF R01-2007-000-20014-0 (2007).

This paper is prepared during the fifth named author's visit to the Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey in July 2009.

right cone in Euclidean 3-space \mathbb{E}^3 take a somewhat different form; namely, $\Delta G = f(G+C)$ for some non-constant function f and some constant vector C. Therefore, it is worth studying the class of solution surfaces satisfying such an equation. A submanifold M of a Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^m is said to have pointwise 1-type Gauss map if its Gauss map G satisfies

$$\Delta G = f(G+C)$$

for some non-zero smooth function f on M and a constant vector C. A pointwise 1-type Gauss map is called proper if the function f defined by (1) is non-constant. A submanifold with pointwise 1-type Gauss map is said to be of the first kind if the vector C in (1) is zero vector. Otherwise, the pointwise 1-type Gauss map is said to be of the second kind ([8], [10], [15], [16]). In [10], two of the present authors characterized the minimal helicoid in terms of pointwise 1-type Gauss map of the first kind. Also, together with B.-Y. Chen, they proved that surfaces of revolution with pointwise 1-type Gauss map of the first kind coincides with surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature. Moreover, they characterized the rational surfaces of revolution with pointwise 1-type Gauss map [8]. In [18] D. W. Yoon study with Vraneanu rotation surfaces in Euclidean 4-space \mathbb{E}^4 . He obtain the complete classification theorems for the flat Vraneanu rotation surfaces with 1-type Gauss map and an equation in terms of the mean curvature vector. For more detail see also [17].

The study of tensor product immersion of two immersions of a given Riemannian manifold was introduced by B.-Y. Chen (See, [7]). Further, product immersions of two plane curves were studied in [13] as a surface in \mathbb{E}^4 . In this article we investigate a tensor product surface with pointwise 1- type Gauss map in Euclidean 4-space \mathbb{E}^4 . First, we consider the tensor product immersions with harmonic Gauss map. Further we investigate tensor product immersions of two plane curves with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in Euclidean 4-space \mathbb{E}^4 .

2. Preliminaries

In the present section we recall definitions and results of [4]. Let $x:M\to\mathbb{E}^m$ be an immersion from an n-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold M into an m-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^m . We denote by g the metric tensor of \mathbb{E}^m as well as the induced metric on M. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of \mathbb{E}^m and ∇ the induced connection on M. Then the Gaussian and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

(2)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

(3)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_{\xi} X + D_X \xi,$$

where X,Y are vector fields tangent to M and ξ normal to M. Moreover, h is the second fundamental form, D is the linear connection induced in the

normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$, called normal connection and A_{ξ} the shape operator in the direction of ξ that is related with h by

$$\langle h(X,Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_{\xi}X, Y \rangle.$$

If we define a covariant differentiation $\overline{\nabla} h$ of the second fundamental form h on the direct sum of the tangent bundle and the normal bundle $TM \oplus T^{\perp}M$ of M by

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

for any vector fields $X,\ Y$ and Z tangent to M. Then we have the Codazzi equation

(4)
$$(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = (\overline{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z).$$

We denote R, the curvature tensor associated with ∇ ;

(5)
$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.$$

The equations Gauss and Ricci are given respectively by

(6)
$$\langle R(X,Y)Z,W\rangle = \langle h(X,W), h(Y,Z)\rangle - \langle h(X,Z)h(Y,W)\rangle,$$

(7)
$$\langle [A_{\varepsilon}, A\eta]X, Y \rangle = 0$$

for vectors X, Y, Z, W tangent to M and ξ, η normal to M.

For an *n*-dimensional submanifold M in \mathbb{E}^m . The mean curvature vector \overrightarrow{H} is given by

$$\overrightarrow{H} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{trace} h.$$

A submanifold M is said to be minimal (respectively, totally geodesic) if $\overrightarrow{H} \equiv 0$ (respectively, $h \equiv 0$).

Let us now define the Gauss map G of a submanifold M into G(n,m) in $\wedge^n \mathbb{E}^m$, where G(n,m) is the Grassmannian manifold consisting of all oriented n-planes through the origin of \mathbb{E}^m and $\wedge^n \mathbb{E}^m$ is the vector space obtained by the exterior product of n vectors in \mathbb{E}^m . In a natural way, we can identify $\wedge^n \mathbb{E}^m$ with some Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^N where $N = \binom{m}{n}$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_m$ be an adapted local orthonormal frame field in \mathbb{E}^m such that e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n , are tangent to M and $e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+2}, \ldots, e_m$ normal to M. The map $G: M \to G(n,m)$ defined by $G(p) = (e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n)(p)$ is called the Gauss map of M that is a smooth map which carries a point p in M into the oriented n-plane in \mathbb{E}^m obtained from the parallel translation of the tangent space of M at p in \mathbb{E}^m .

For any real function f on M the Laplacian of f is defined by

(8)
$$\Delta f = -\sum_{i} (\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} f - \widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} f).$$

3. Tensor product surfaces with finite type Gauss map

In the following sections, we will consider the tensor product immersions, actually surfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 , which are obtained from two Euclidean plane curves. Let $c_1: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}^2$ and $c_2: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}^2$ be two Euclidean curves. Put $c_1(t) = (\gamma(t), \delta(t))$ and $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$. Then their tensor product surface is given by

$$f = c_1 \otimes c_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{E}^4$$
,

(9)
$$f(t,s) = (\alpha(s)\gamma(t), \beta(s)\gamma(t), \alpha(s)\delta(t), \beta(s)\delta(t))$$

(See [11] and [13]). If we take c_1 as a unit plane circle centered at 0 and $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$ is a unit speed Euclidean plane curve, then the surface patch becomes

(10)
$$M: \quad f(t,s) = (\alpha(s)\cos t, \beta(s)\cos t, \alpha(s)\sin t, \beta(s)\sin t).$$

An orthonormal frame tangent to M is given by

(11)
$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\|c_2\|} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t},$$

(12)
$$e_2 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}.$$

The normal space of M is spanned by

(13)
$$n_1 = (-\beta'(s)\cos t, \beta'(s)\cot s, \alpha'(s)\sin t, -\alpha'(s)\sin t),$$

(14)
$$n_2 = \frac{1}{\|c_2\|} (-\beta(s)\sin t, \beta(s)\sin t, \alpha(s)\cos t, -\alpha(s)\cos t).$$

By covariant differentiation with respect to e_1 and e_2 a straightforward calculation gives

(15)
$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 = -a(s)e_2 + b(s)n_1, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 = c(s)n_1, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 = -b(s)n_2, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 = a(s)e_1 - b(s)n_2,$$

and

(16)
$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} n_{1} = -b(s)e_{1} - a(s)n_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} n_{2} = b(s)e_{2} + a(s)n_{1}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{2}} n_{1} = -c(s)e_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{2}} n_{2} = -b(s)e_{1},$$

where

(17)
$$a(s) = \frac{\alpha(s)\alpha'(s) + \beta(s)\beta'(s)}{\|c_2(s)\|^2},$$

(18)
$$b(s) = \frac{\alpha(s)\beta'(s) - \beta(s)\alpha'(s)}{\|c_2(s)\|^2},$$

$$(19) c(s) = \alpha'(s)\beta''(s) - \alpha''(s)\beta'(s).$$

are the differentiable functions.

By the use of (16) with (3) we get the following result.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f = c_1 \otimes c_2$ be a tensor product immersion of a plane circle c_1 centered at the origin with any Euclidean planar curve $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$. Then

$$(20) \hspace{1cm} A_{n_1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} b(s) & 0 \\ 0 & c(s) \end{array} \right], \hspace{0.1cm} A_{n_2} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -b(s) \\ -b(s) & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

By using (8), (15), (16) and straight-forward computation the Laplacian ΔG of the Gauss map can be expressed as

$$-\Delta G = (-2a(s)b(s) + c'(s) + a(s)c(s)) e_1 \wedge e_3
+ (2a(s)b(s) + b'(s) + a(s)b(s))e_2 \wedge e_4
+ (3b^2(s) + c^2(s)) e_2 \wedge e_1 + (2b(s)c(s) - 2b^2(s)) e_3 \wedge e_4.$$

First, we suppose that the Gauss map of M is harmonic, i.e., $\Delta G = \overrightarrow{0}$. From (21) we get

(22)
$$3b^{2}(s) + c^{2}(s) = 0,$$
$$b(s)c(s) - b^{2}(s) = 0,$$
$$-2a(s)b(s) + c'(s) + a(s)c(s) = 0,$$
$$2a(s)b(s) + b'(s) + a(s)b(s) = 0.$$

Then, the first equation of (22) implies that b=0 and c=0. So, by (20), M is a totally geodesic surface in \mathbb{E}^4 .

Thus we have:

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a tensor product surface of a plane circle c_1 centered at the origin with a Euclidean planar curve $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$. If the Gauss map of M is harmonic, then it is a part of a plane.

Now, we suppose that the rotation surface M is of pointwise 1-type Gauss map in \mathbb{E}^4 . From (1) and (21)

(23)
$$f + f\langle C, e_1 \wedge e_2 \rangle = -3b^2(s) - c^2(s),$$

$$f\langle C, e_1 \wedge e_3 \rangle = -2a(s)b(s) + c'(s) + a(s)c(s),$$

$$f\langle C, e_2 \wedge e_4 \rangle = 2a(s)b(s) + b'(s) + a(s)b(s),$$

$$f\langle C, e_3 \wedge e_4 \rangle = 2b(s)c(s) - 2b^2(s),$$

where f is a smooth non-zero function. Then we obtain from (21)

(24)
$$f\langle C, e_1 \wedge e_4 \rangle = 0, f\langle C, e_2 \wedge e_3 \rangle = 0.$$

Further, by using the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci after some computation we get

(25)
$$a'(s) + a^{2}(s) = b^{2}(s) - b(s)c(s),$$

(26)
$$b'(s) = -2a(s)b(s) + a(s)c(s),$$

and

(27)
$$b(s) (b(s) - c(s)) = 0,$$

respectively.

Consider the open subset $U = \{s \in \text{dom} c_2 \mid b(s) \neq c(s)\}$. Suppose $U \neq \emptyset$. Then, b(s) = 0 on U by (27). (26) with it implies a(s)c(s) = 0. If $a(s_0) \neq 0$ for some $s_0 \in U$, then $c(s_0) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, a(s) = 0 on U. Hence, (17) and (18) show that $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$ is a constant vector on U, a contradiction. Therefore, b(s) = c(s) for all s. Hence, from (25) one can get a Bernoulli differential equation

$$a'(s) + a^2(s) = 0.$$

Thus, one can have a trivial solution

$$a(s) \equiv 0$$

or a non-trivial solution

$$a(s) = \frac{1}{s+s_0}$$

for some constant s_0 .

Suppose $a \equiv 0$. By (26), b is a constant and so is c. By (23) with b(s) = c(s) = const., the constant vector C reduces to

$$C = \langle C, G \rangle G$$

and thus $\langle C,G\rangle G$ is constant. Therefore, the Gauss map G is eventually a constant vector. In this case, M is part of a plane.

Let us consider the case that a has a non-trivial solution. Combining (28) with (17), we obtain a differential equation

$$\frac{(\alpha^2(s) + \beta^2(s))'}{2(\alpha^2(s) + \beta^2(s))} = \frac{1}{s + s_0}$$

which has a solution

$$\alpha^{2}(s) + \beta^{2}(s) = \mu(s+s_{0})^{2}$$

for some non-zero constant μ .

Since $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$ is of unit speed, we may put

(29)
$$\alpha'(s) = \cos \theta(s), \ \beta'(s) = \sin \theta(s)$$

for some function $\theta(s)$ and using (19) we get

$$c(s) = \alpha'(s)\beta''(s) - \alpha''(s)\beta'(s)$$

= $\theta'(s)$.

Furthermore, substituting c(s) = b(s) into (26) and using (28) we obtain

$$b'(s) = -\frac{b(s)}{s+s_0},$$

which has the solution

(30)
$$b(s) = \frac{\lambda}{s+s_0}, \ \lambda = \text{const.}$$

Combining (30), (29) and using c(s) = b(s), we get

$$\theta(s) = \lambda \ln|s + s_0|.$$

So, substituting this into (29) we get

(31)
$$\alpha(s) = \int \cos(\lambda \ln|s + \mu|) ds,$$
$$\beta(s) = \int \sin(\lambda \ln|s + \mu|) ds,$$

The converse also holds.

Thus, summing up the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.3. Let M be a tensor product surface of a plane circle c_1 centered at the origin with a Euclidean planar curve $c_2(s) = (\alpha(s), \beta(s))$. Then M has pointwise 1-type Gauss map if and only if M is either totally geodesic or parameterized by

$$\alpha(s) = \int \cos(\lambda \ln|s + \mu|) ds,$$
$$\beta(s) = \int \sin(\lambda \ln|s + \mu|) ds.$$

Remark. Part of plane can be considered as a surface of a Euclidean space with pointwise 1-type Gauss map of the second kind.

References

- [1] C. Baikoussis and D. E. Blair, On the Gauss map of ruled surfaces, Glasgow Math. J. **34** (1992), no. 3, 355–359.
- [2] C. Baikoussis, B. Y. Chen, and L. Verstraelen, Ruled surfaces and tubes with finite type Gauss map, Tokyo J. Math. 16 (1993), no. 2, 341–349.
- [3] C. Baikoussis and L. Verstraelen, On the Gauss map of helicoidal surfaces, Rend. Sem. Mat. Messina Ser. II 2(16) (1993), 31–42.
- [4] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of Submanifolds and Its Applications, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 1981.
- [5] ______, Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type, Series in Pure Mathematics, 1. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.
- [6] _____, Finite Type Submanifolds and Generalizations, Universitá degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", Istituto Matematico "Guido Castelnuovo", Rome, 1985.
- [7] ______, Differential geometry of semiring of immersions. I. General theory, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 21 (1993), no. 1, 1–34.

- [8] B.-Y. Chen, M. Choi, and Y. H. Kim, Surfaces of revolution with pointwise 1-type Gauss map, J. Korean Math. Soc. 42 (2005), no. 3, 447–455.
- [9] B.-Y. Chen and P. Piccinni, Submanifolds with finite type Gauss map, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 35 (1987), no. 2, 161–186.
- [10] M. Choi and Y. H. Kim, Characterization of the helicoid as ruled surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 38 (2001), no. 4, 753–761.
- [11] F. Decruyenaere, F. Dillen, I. Mihai, and L. Verstraelen, Tensor products of spherical and equivariant immersions, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 1 (1994), no. 5, 643– 648
- [12] F. Decruyenaere, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, and L. Vrancken, The semiring of immersions of manifolds, Beitrage Algebra Geom. 34 (1993), no. 2, 209–215.
- [13] I. Mihai, R. Rosca, L. Verstraelen, and L. Vrancken, Tensor product surfaces of Euclidean planar curves, Rend. Sem. Mat. Messina Ser. II 3(18) (1994/95), 173–185.
- [14] Y. H. Kim and D. W. Yoon, Ruled surfaces with finite type Gauss map in Minkowski spaces, Soochow J. Math. 26 (2000), no. 1, 85–96.
- [15] ______, Ruled surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map, J. Geom. Phys. 34 (2000), no. 3-4, 191–205.
- [16] ______, On the Gauss map of ruled surfaces in Minkowski space, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 35 (2005), no. 5, 1555–1581.
- [17] D. A. Yoon, Rotation surfaces with finite type Gauss map in E⁴, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (2001), no. 12, 1803–1808.
- [18] ______, Some properties of the Clifford torus as rotation surfaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (2003), no. 6, 907–915.

KADRI ARSLAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ULUDAĞ UNIVERSITY
16059 BURSA, TURKEY

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: arslan@uludag.edu.tr}$

BETÜL BULCA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ULUDAĞ UNIVERSITY 16059 BURSA, TURKEY

BENGÜ KILIÇ
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BALIKESIR UNIVERSITY
BALIKESIR, TURKEY
E-mail address: benguk@balikesir.edu.tr

Young Ho Kim Department of Mathematics Kyungpook National University Taegu 702-701, Korea E-mail address: yhkim@knu.ac.kr

CENGIZHAN MURATHAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ULUDAĞ UNIVERSITY
16059 BURSA, TURKEY

GÜNAY ÖZTÜRK DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KOCAELI UNIVERSITY KOCAELI, TURKEY E-mail address: ogunay@ku.edu.tr