Result.Math. 55 (2009), 1–10 -c 2009 Birkh¨auser Verlag Basel/Switzerland 1422-6383/010001-10, published online July 14, 2009 DOI 10.1007/s00025-009-0395-8 **Results in Mathematics**

Hypersurfaces of an Almost r**-Paracontact Riemannian Manifold with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection**

Mobin Ahmad and Cihan Ozgür

Abstract. We define a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in an almost rparacontact Riemannian manifold and consider invariant, non-invariant and anti-invariant hypersurfaces, respectively, of almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 53D10; secondary 53B05. **Keywords.** Almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold, semi-symmetric nonmetric connection, hypersurfaces of r-paracontact Riemannian manifolds.

1. Introduction

In [1], T. Adati studied hypersurfaces of an almost paracontact manifold. In [5], A. Bucki considered hypersurfaces of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold. Some properties of invariant hypersurfaces of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold were investigated in [6] by A. Bucki and A. Miernowski. Moreover, in [9], I. Mihai and K. Matsumoto studied submanifolds of an almost rparacontact Riemannian manifold of P-Sasakian type.

Let ∇ be a linear connection in an *n*-dimensional differentiable manifold M . The torsion tensor T and the curvature tensor R of ∇ are given by

$$
T(X,Y) \equiv \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y],
$$

\n
$$
R(X,Y)Z \equiv \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z,
$$

respectively. The connection ∇ is symmetric if its torsion tensor T vanishes, otherwise it is non-symmetric. The connection ∇ is a metric connection if there is a Riemannian metric q in M such that $\nabla q = 0$, otherwise it is non-metric. It is well known that a linear connection is symmetric and metric if and only if it is the Levi-Civita connection of some metric g.

2 M. Ahmad and C. Özgür Result.Math.

In [7, 10], A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten introduced the idea of a semisymmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold. A linear connection is said to be a semi-symmetric connection if its torsion tensor T is of the form

$$
T(X,Y) = u(Y) X - u(X) Y, \t(1.1)
$$

where u is a 1-form. In [13], K. Yano considered a semi-symmetric metric connection and studied some of its properties. In [2,3,8,11] and [12], different types of semi-symmetric non-metric connections were studied.

In this paper, we study a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold. We consider invariant, non-invariant and anti-invariant hypersurfaces, respectively, of almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give a brief introduction about an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold. In Section 3, we show that the induced connection on a hypersurface of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection with respect to the unit normal is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We find the characteristic properties of invariant, non-invariant and anti-invariant hypersurfaces, respectively, of almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a semisymmetric non-metric connection.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a positive definite metric g. If there exist a tensor field φ of type (1,1), r vector fields $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_r$ $(n>r)$, and r one-forms $\eta^1, \eta^2, \ldots, \eta^r$ such that

$$
\eta^{\alpha}(\xi_{\beta}) = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in (r) := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, r\},\tag{2.1}
$$

$$
\varphi^2(X) = X - \eta^{\alpha}(X)\xi_{\alpha},\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
\eta^{\alpha}(X) = g(X, \xi_{\alpha}), \quad \alpha \in (r), \tag{2.3}
$$

$$
\eta^{\alpha} \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \alpha \in (r), \tag{2.4}
$$

$$
g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(X) \eta^{\alpha}(Y), \qquad (2.5)
$$

where X and Y are vector fields on M, then the structure $\sum := (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ is said to be an almost r-paracontact structure and M is an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold [1]. From (2.1) – (2.5) , we have

$$
\varphi(\xi_{\alpha}) = 0, \quad \alpha \in (r),
$$

$$
\Psi(X, Y) \stackrel{def}{=} g(\varphi X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y).
$$

For a Riemannian connection $\stackrel{*}{\nabla}$ on M, the tensor N is given by

$$
N(X,Y) := \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{\varphi Y}\varphi\right)X - \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{X}\varphi\right)\varphi Y - \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{\varphi X}\varphi\right)Y + \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{Y}\varphi\right)\varphi X + \eta^{\alpha}(X)\overset{*}{\nabla}_{Y}\xi_{\alpha} - \eta^{\alpha}(Y)\overset{*}{\nabla}_{X}\xi_{\alpha}.
$$

An almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold M with structure \sum = $(\varphi, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ is said to be of *paracontact type* [4] if

$$
2\Psi(X,Y) = \left(\stackrel{*}{\nabla}_X \eta^\alpha\right) Y + \left(\stackrel{*}{\nabla}_Y \eta^\alpha\right) X, \text{ for all } \alpha \in (r). \tag{2.6}
$$

If all η^{α} are closed then (2.6) reduces to

$$
\Psi(X,Y) = \left(\stackrel{*}{\nabla}_X \eta^\alpha\right) Y, \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in (r), \tag{2.7}
$$

and M satisfying this condition is called an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold of s-paracontact type [4]. An almost r-paracontact Riemann manifold M with a structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ is said to be *P-Sasakian* if (2.7) and

$$
\left(\stackrel{*}{\nabla}_{Z}\Psi\right)(X,Y) = -\sum_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}(X)\left[g(Y,Z) - \sum_{\beta}\eta^{\beta}(Y)\eta^{\beta}(Z)\right] - \sum_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}(Y)\left[g(X,Z) - \sum_{\beta}\eta^{\beta}(Y)\eta^{\beta}(Z)\right]
$$
(2.8)

yield for all vector fields X, Y and Z on M [4]. (2.7) and (2.8) are equivalent to

$$
\varphi X = \overset{*}{\nabla} X \xi_{\alpha} , \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in (r) , \tag{2.9}
$$

and

$$
\left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{Y}\varphi\right)X = -\sum_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}(X)\left[Y - \sum_{\beta}\eta^{\alpha}(Y)\xi_{\alpha}\right] - \left[g(X,Y) - \sum_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}(X)\eta^{\alpha}(Y)\right]\sum_{\beta}\xi_{\beta},\tag{2.10}
$$

respectively [5]. We define a semi-symmetric non-metric connection ∇ on M by

$$
\nabla_X Y = \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y + \eta^{\alpha}(Y) X , \qquad (2.11)
$$

for any $\alpha \in (r)$. Inserting (2.11) into (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$
\varphi X = \nabla_X \xi_\alpha - X \tag{2.12}
$$

and

$$
(\nabla_Y \varphi) X = -\sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(X) \left[Y - \eta^{\alpha}(Y) \xi_{\alpha} \right]
$$

$$
- \left[g(X, Y) - \sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(X) \eta^{\alpha}(Y) \right] \sum_{\beta} \xi_{\beta}.
$$
(2.13)

3. Hypersurfaces of almost r**-paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection**

Let \tilde{M}^n be an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold with a positive definite metric g and M^{n-1} be a hypersurface in M^n , given by an immersion $f : M^{n-1} \to \widetilde{M}^n$. If R_1 is the definition of \widetilde{M}^n , \widetilde{M}^n is \widetilde{M}^n . \widetilde{M}^n . If B denotes the differential of f then any vector field $\overline{X} \in \chi(M^{n-1})$ implies $B\overline{X} \in \chi(M^n)$. In an obvious way we use a bar to mark objects belonging to M^{n-1} . Let N be an oriented unit normal field to M^{n-1} and

$$
\overline{g}(X,Y) = g(X,Y),
$$

the induced metric on M^{n-1} . We have [6]

$$
g(\overline{X}, N) = 0
$$
 and $g(N, N) = 1$.

If $\overline{\nabla}$ is the connection, induced from $\overline{\nabla}$ with respect to unit normal N on the hypersurface, then the Gauss equation is given by

$$
\nabla_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} = \overline{\nabla_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y}} + h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})N , \qquad (3.1)
$$

where h is the second fundamental tensor, satisfying

$$
h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = h(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}) = g(H(\overline{X}), Y), \qquad (3.2)
$$

and H is the shape operator of M^{n-1} in \overline{M}^n . If $\overline{\nabla}$ is the connection, induced from the connection Σ with perpect to unit permeal M on the the semisymmetric non-metric connection ∇ with respect to unit normal N on the hypersurface, then we have

$$
\nabla_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} + m(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})N , \qquad (3.3)
$$

where m is a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on M^{n-1} . From (2.11) we obtain

$$
\nabla_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} = \overset{*}{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} + \eta^{\alpha}(\overline{Y}) \overline{X}, \qquad (3.4)
$$

and the equations (3.1) – (3.4) give

$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} + m(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})N = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} + h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})N + \eta^{\alpha}(\overline{Y})\overline{X}.
$$

Taking tangential and normal parts from both sides, we obtain

$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y}=\overline{\overset{\ast}{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y}}+\eta^{\alpha}(\overline{Y})\overline{X}
$$

and

$$
m(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}).
$$

Thus we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. The connection, induced with respect to the unit normal on a hypersurface of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

From (3.3) and (3) we have

$$
\nabla_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} + h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) N , \qquad (3.5)
$$

which is the Gauss equation for a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. The equation of Weingarten with respect to the Riemannian connection $\stackrel{*}{\nabla}$ is given by

$$
\stackrel{*}{\nabla_{\overline{X}}}N = -H\overline{X} \tag{3.6}
$$

for every tangent vector field \overline{X} in M^{n-1} . From equation (2.11) we have

$$
\nabla_{\overline{X}} N = \overset{*}{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} N + a_{\alpha} \overline{X}, \qquad (3.7)
$$

where

$$
\eta^{\alpha}(N) = a_{\alpha} = m(\xi_{\alpha}).
$$

The relations (3.6) and (3.7) give

$$
\nabla_{\overline{X}} N = -M\overline{X},\tag{3.8}
$$

where $M = H - a_{\alpha}$, which is the Weingarten equation with respect to a semisymmetric non-metric connection. Now suppose that $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ is an almost r-paracontact Riemannian structure on \tilde{M}^n , then every vector field X on M^n is decomposed as

$$
X = \overline{X} + l(X)N,
$$

where l is a one-form on \tilde{M}^n ; for any tangent vector field \overline{X} on M^{n-1} and normal \overline{M} are horse. N we have

$$
\varphi \overline{X} = \overline{\varphi} \overline{X} + b(\overline{X})N , \qquad (3.9)
$$

$$
\varphi N = \overline{N} + KN\,,\tag{3.10}
$$

where $\overline{\varphi}$ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ on the hypersurface M^{n-1} , b is a one-form and K a scalar function on M^{n-1} . For each $\alpha \in (r)$, we have

$$
\xi_{\alpha} = \overline{\xi}_{\alpha} + a_{\alpha} N. \tag{3.11}
$$

We define $\overline{\eta}^{\alpha}$ by

$$
\overline{\eta}^{\alpha}(\overline{X}) = \eta^{\alpha}(\overline{X}), \quad \alpha \in (r).
$$

Then we obtain (see [5]):

$$
b(\overline{N}) + K^2 = 1 - \sum_{\alpha} (a_{\alpha})^2,
$$

\n
$$
Ka_{\alpha} + b(\overline{\xi}_{\alpha}) = 0, \quad \alpha \in (r),
$$

\n
$$
\Psi(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \overline{g}(\overline{\varphi}\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{\varphi}\overline{Y}) = \overline{\Psi}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}),
$$

\n
$$
g(\overline{X}, \overline{N}) = b(\overline{X}).
$$

Differentiating covariantly (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) along M^{n-1} and making use of (3.5) and (3.8) , we get

$$
(\nabla_{\overline{Y}}\varphi)\overline{X} = (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\varphi})\overline{X} - h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{N} - b(\overline{X})[H(\overline{Y}) - a_{\alpha}\overline{Y}] + [h(\overline{\varphi}\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}b)\overline{X} - Kh(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})]N ,
$$
\n(3.12)

$$
(\nabla_{\overline{Y}}\varphi)N = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{N} + \overline{\varphi}\left(H(\overline{Y}) - a_{\alpha}\overline{Y}\right) - K\left(H(\overline{Y}) - a_{\alpha}\overline{Y}\right) + \left[2h(\overline{Y}, \overline{N}) + \overline{Y}(K) + a_{\alpha}b(\overline{Y})\right]N, \tag{3.13}
$$

$$
\nabla_{\overline{Y}} \xi_{\alpha} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\xi}_{\alpha} - a_{\alpha} H(\overline{Y}) + (a_{\alpha})^2 \overline{Y} + \left[\overline{Y}(a_{\alpha}) + h(\overline{Y}, \overline{\xi}_{\alpha}) \right] N, \qquad (3.14)
$$

$$
(\nabla_{\overline{Y}} \eta^{\alpha}) \, \overline{X} = (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \eta^{\alpha}) \, \overline{X} - h(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}) a_{\alpha} \,,
$$

and

$$
(\nabla_{\overline{Z}}\Psi) (\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Z}}\overline{\Psi}) (\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) - h(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})b(\overline{Y}) - b(\overline{X})h(\overline{Z}, \overline{Y}) + b(\overline{X})a_{\alpha}\overline{g}(\overline{Z}, \overline{Y}).
$$

Theorem 3.2. If M^{n-1} is an invariant hypersurface immersed in an almost rparacontact Riemannian manifold \overline{M}^n endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric
connection with ethneture $\sum_{n=0}^{n} (x, \zeta_n, \zeta_n)$ connection with structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ then either:

- (i) all ξ_{α} are tangent to M^{n-1} , and M^{n-1} admits an almost r-paracontact Riemannian structure $\sum_1 = (\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\xi}_{\alpha}, \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}, \overline{g})_{\alpha \in (r)}, (n - r > 2)$ or
- (ii) one of the vectors ξ_{α} (say ξ_{r}) is normal to M^{n-1} , and the remaining ξ_{α} are tangent to M^{n-1} and M^{n-1} admits an almost $(r-1)$ -paracontact Riemannian structure $\sum_2 = (\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\xi}_{\alpha}, \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}, \overline{g})_{\alpha \in (r)}, (n - r > 1).$

Proof. The computations are similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [5]. \Box

Corollary 3.3. If M^{n-1} is a hypersurface immersed in an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold \tilde{M}^n endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection
with a structure $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n \tilde{\zeta}_n)^n$ and then the following statements are equive with a structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) M^{n-1} is invariant.
- (ii) the normal field N is an eigenvector of φ ,

(iii) all ξ_{α} are tangent to M^{n-1} if and only if M^{n-1} admits an almost r-paracontact Riemannian structure \sum_1 , or one of the vectors ξ_α is normal and the $(r-1)$ remaining vectors ξ_i are tangent to M^{n-1} if and only if M^{n-1} admits an almost $(r-1)$ paracontact Riemannian structure \sum_2 .

Theorem 3.4. If M^{n-1} is an invariant hypersurface immersed in an almost rparacontact Riemannian manifold \overline{M}^n of P-Sasakian type endowed with a semi-
current is non-matrix correction with atmosfers $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x, \xi, \pi^{\alpha}_{k,n})$ then the symmetric non-metric connection with structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ then the induced almost r-paracontact Riemannian structure \sum_1 or the $(r-1)$ -paracontact Riemannian structure \sum_2 is also of P-Sasakian type.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [5].

 \Box

Lemma 3.5 ([5]).
$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}(trace\overline{\varphi})=trace(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\varphi}).
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let M^{n-1} be a non-invariant hypersurface of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold \tilde{M}^n endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric
connection with a structure $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \tilde{c}_n a_n^{\alpha} a_n)$ estisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{\alpha} a_n^{\alpha}$ connection with a structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ satisfying $\nabla \varphi = 0$ along M^{n-1} . Then M^{n-1} is totally geodesic if and only if

$$
\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\varphi}\right)\overline{X} + b(\overline{X})a_{\alpha}\overline{Y} = 0.
$$

Proof. From (3.12) we have

$$
(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\varphi})\overline{X} - h(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{N} - b(\overline{X})\left(H(\overline{Y}) - a_{\alpha}\overline{Y}\right) = 0
$$
\n(3.15)

and

$$
h(\overline{\varphi}\overline{X},\overline{Y})+(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}b)\overline{X}-Kh(\overline{Y},\overline{X})=0.
$$

If M^{n-1} is totally geodesic then $h = 0$ and $H = 0$. From (3.15) we get

$$
\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\varphi}\right)\overline{X} + b(\overline{X})a_{\alpha}\overline{Y} = 0.
$$

Conversely, if $\left(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\varphi}\right) \overline{X} + b(\overline{X}) a_{\alpha} \overline{Y} = 0$ then

$$
h(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{N} + b(\overline{X})H(\overline{Y}) = 0.
$$
\n(3.16)

Interchanging \overline{Y} and \overline{X} in (3.16) we have

$$
h(\overline{Y}, \overline{X})\overline{N} + b(\overline{Y})H(\overline{X}) = 0.
$$
\n(3.17)

(3.16) and (3.17) give

$$
b(\overline{X})H(\overline{Y}) = b(\overline{Y})H(\overline{X}), \qquad (3.18)
$$

and (3.18) and (3.1) imply

$$
b(\overline{X})h(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = b(\overline{Y})h(\overline{X}, \overline{Z}).
$$
\n(3.19)

From (3.18) and (3.19) we get $b(\overline{Z})h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = 0$ which gives $h = 0$ since $b \neq 0$. Using $h = 0$ in (3.16), we arrive at $H = 0$. Thus $h = 0$ and $H = 0$. Hence M^{n-1} is totally geodesic. This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

We also have the following:

Theorem 3.7. Let M^{n-1} be a non-invariant hypersurface of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold \tilde{M}^n with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, satisfying $\nabla \varphi = 0$ along M^{n-1} . If trace $\overline{\varphi} = constant$ then

$$
h(\overline{X}, \overline{N}) = \frac{1}{2} a_{\alpha} \sum_{a} b(e_a) g(e_a, \overline{X}).
$$
\n(3.20)

Proof. From (3.15) we have

$$
\overline{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\varphi}) \overline{X}, \overline{X}) = 2h(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})b(\overline{X}) - a_{\alpha}b(\overline{X})g(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})
$$

and

$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}(trace\overline{\varphi}) = 2h(\overline{X}, \overline{N}) - a_{\alpha} \sum_{a} b(e_{a})g(e_{a}, \overline{X}).
$$

Using Lemma 3.5, we get (3.20), where $N = \sum_a b(e_a)e_a$. Thus our theorem is proved. \Box

Let M^{n-1} be an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold of s-paracontact type, then from (2.9) , (3.9) and (3.14) , we get

$$
\overline{\varphi X} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{\xi}_{\alpha} - \overline{X} - a_{\alpha} H(\overline{X}) + (a_{\alpha})^2 \overline{X}, \quad \alpha \in (r)
$$
 (3.21)

$$
b(\overline{X}) = [\overline{X}(a_{\alpha}) + h(\overline{X}, \overline{\xi}_{\alpha})], \quad \alpha \in (r).
$$
 (3.22)

Making use of (3.22) and (3.11), if M^{n-1} is totally geodesic and all ξ_{α} are tangent to M^{n-1} then $a_{\alpha} = 0$ and $h = 0$. Hence $b = 0$, that is, M^{n-1} is invariant.

So we have the following Proposition:

Proposition 3.8. If M^{n-1} is a totally geodesic hypersurface of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold \overline{M}^n of s-paracontact type endowed with a semi-sym-
writing you writing connection with a structure $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n, \xi_n, \alpha_n)$ and if all metric non-metric connection with a structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ and if all ξ_{α} are tangent to M^{n-1} then M^{n-1} is invariant.

Theorem 3.9. If M^{n-1} is an anti-invariant hypersurface of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold \overline{M}^n of s-paracontact type endowed with a semi-symmetric
non-matrix connection with a structure \sum (a f \overline{S}^n) at \overline{S}^n f \overline{N} non-metric connection with a structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$ then $\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \xi_\alpha = \overline{X}$ for all ξ_{α} tangent to M^{n-1} .

Proof. If M^{n-1} is anti-invariant then $\overline{\varphi} = 0$, $a_{\alpha} = 0$ and from (3.21) we have

$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \xi_{\alpha} = -a_{\alpha} H(\overline{X}) + (a_{\alpha})^2 \overline{X} + \overline{X}, \quad \alpha \in (r).
$$

That is

$$
\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\xi_{\alpha}=\overline{X}\,.
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Theorem 3.10. Let \overline{M}^n be an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold of P-
Secolular time or developed with a sami-summatrix non-matrix sourcetion with a structure Sasakian type endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection with a structure $\sum = (\varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, g)_{\alpha \in (r)}$, and let M^{n-1} be a hypersurface immersed in \tilde{M}^n such

Vol. 55 (2009) Hypersurfaces of an Almost r-Paracontact Manifold 9

that none of the vectors ξ_{α} is tangent to M^{n-1} then M^{n-1} is totally geodesic if and only if

$$
\begin{split} (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\varphi}) \overline{X} + a_{\alpha} b(\overline{X}) \overline{Y} &= - \sum_{\alpha} & \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}(\overline{X}) \big[\overline{Y} - \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}(\overline{Y}) \overline{\xi}_{\alpha} \big] \\ & - \bigg[\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) - \sum_{\alpha} & \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}(\overline{X}) \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}(\overline{Y}) \bigg] \sum_{\beta} & \overline{\xi}_{\beta} \, . \end{split}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the Theorem 4.5 in [5]. \Box

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the referee for a number of helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] T. Adati, Hypersurfaces of almost paracontact Riemannian manifold, TRU Math. **17** (1981), 189–198.
- [2] N. S. Agashe and M. R. Chaffle, A semi-symmetric non-metric connection of a Riemannian manifold, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Math. **23** (1992), 399–409.
- [3] O.C. Andonie and D. Smaranda, Certaines connexions semi-symétriques, Tensor N.S. **31** (1977), 8–12.
- [4] A. Bucki, Almost r-paracontact structures of P-Sasakian type, Tensor N.S. **42** (1985), 42–54.
- [5] A. Bucki, Hypersurfaces of almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold, Tensor N.S. **48** (1989), 245–251.
- [6] A. Bucki and A. Miernowski, Invariant hypersurfaces of an almost r-paracontact manifold, Demonstratio Math. **19** (1986), 113–121.
- [7] A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten, Uber die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen ¨ $Ü$ *bertragung*, Math. Z. 21 (1924), 211-223.
- [8] Y. Liang, On semi-symmetric recurrent-metric connection, Tensor N.S. **55** (1994), 107–112.
- [9] I. Mihai and K. Matsumoto, Submanifolds of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold of P-Sasakian type. Tensor N.S. **48** (1989), 136–142.
- [10] J. A. Schouten, Ricci calculus, Springer Berlin, 1954.
- [11] J. Sengupta, U. C. De and T. Q. Binh, On a type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (2000), 1659– 1670.
- [12] M. M. Tripathi, A new connection in a Riemannian manifold, Int. Elec. J. Geom. **1** (2008), 15–24.
- [13] K. Yano, On semi-symmetric metric connections, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **15** (1970), 1579–1586.

10 M. Ahmad and C. Özgür Result.Math.

Mobin Ahmad Department of Applied Mathematics Integral University Kursi Road Lucknow-226026 India e-mail: mobinahmad@rediffmail.com

Cihan Özgür Department of Mathematics Balıkesir University 10145, Çağış Balıkesir Turkey e-mail: cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr

Received: May 12, 2008. Revised: February 17, 2009. Accepted: March 24, 2009.