

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231980251>

# An obstruction to finding algebraic models for smooth manifolds with prescribed algebraic submanifolds

Article in *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* · March 2001

DOI: 10.1017/S0305004100004965

---

CITATION

1

READS

16

2 authors, including:



[Yildiray Ozan](#)

Middle East Technical University

20 PUBLICATIONS 70 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

## An obstruction to finding algebraic models for smooth manifolds with prescribed algebraic submanifolds

BY ARZU ÇELIKTEN

*Department of Mathematics, Balıkesir University, 10100 Balıkesir, Turkey*  
*e-mail: acelik@mail.balikesir.edu.tr*

AND YILDIRAY OZAN

*Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey*  
*e-mail: ozan@metu.edu.tr*

(Received 9 March 1999; revised 4 January 2000)

### *Abstract*

Let  $N \subseteq M$  be a pair of closed smooth manifolds and  $L$  an algebraic model for the submanifold  $N$ . In this paper, we will give an obstruction to finding an algebraic model  $X$  of  $M$  so that the submanifold  $N$  corresponds in  $X$  to an algebraic subvariety isomorphic to  $L$ .

---

### 1. Introduction and results

Seifert proved in 1936 that any closed smooth submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with trivial normal bundle is isotopic to a nonsingular component of a real algebraic subvariety  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ([18]). In 1952 Nash showed that any closed smooth manifold is diffeomorphic to a component of a nonsingular real algebraic variety ([13]). Later, in 1973 Tognoli proved that any closed smooth manifold is diffeomorphic to a nonsingular real algebraic variety ([22]) and also observed that the algebraic realization problem is a bordism problem. Later Akbulut and King improved Tognoli's result using this bordism technique. They proved that any closed smooth submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is isotopic to a nonsingular real algebraic subvariety  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ([3, 4]). Using similar techniques Dovermann and Masuda showed that closed smooth manifolds with certain group actions, such as semifree or odd order finite group actions, can be realized algebraically ([11]). Suh has also results in this direction ([19]). In 1993 Akbulut and King showed that some submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  cannot be isotoped to an algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with nonsingular complexification ([5]).

Given a closed smooth manifold  $M$  with a submanifold  $N$ , not necessarily connected, there exists a nonsingular real algebraic variety  $X$  diffeomorphic to  $M$  such that  $N$  corresponds to a nonsingular subvariety of  $X$  under the diffeomorphism. In this paper we will focus on the following problem: let  $N \subseteq M$  be a smooth closed submanifold and  $L$  a nonsingular real algebraic variety diffeomorphic to  $N$ . Then, is there a nonsingular real algebraic variety  $X$  and a diffeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow X$  so that  $f(N)$  is an algebraic subvariety of  $X$  isomorphic to  $L$ ?

If  $M$  is a smooth manifold and  $f: M \rightarrow X$  a diffeomorphism where  $X$  is a nonsingular real algebraic variety then we will call  $X$  an algebraic model for the smooth manifold  $M$ . Similarly, if  $N \subseteq M$  is a smooth submanifold of the closed smooth manifold  $M$  and  $f: M \rightarrow X$  a diffeomorphism so that  $L = f(N)$  is a nonsingular real algebraic subvariety of  $X$  then the pair  $(X, L)$  will be called an algebraic model for the pair  $(M, N)$ . So the above problem can be restated as follows: given the smooth manifolds  $N \subseteq M$  and an algebraic model  $L$  of  $N$  is there a nonsingular real algebraic variety  $X$  so that  $(X, L)$  is an algebraic model for  $(M, N)$ ?

The following theorem, which is a direct consequence of theorem 2.8.4 of [1], whose weaker form is originally proved by Benedetti and Tognoli ([6]), shows that the algebraic realization question of  $(M, N)$  by a pair  $(X, L)$ , for some  $X$ , is indeed an infinitesimal question at  $L$ .

**THEOREM 1.1 ([1]).** *Let  $L \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ , where  $L$  is a nonsingular real algebraic variety and  $M$  an embedded closed smooth manifold. Then there is a smooth embedding  $g: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$  such that  $X = g(M)$  is a nonsingular real algebraic variety with  $g(x) = x$ , for all  $x \in L$ , if and only if the normal bundle  $N_M(L)$  of  $L$  in  $M$  has a strongly algebraic structure.*

In general, whether a given topological vector bundle over a compact nonsingular real algebraic variety  $L$  has a strongly algebraic structure or not, is a difficult question. If  $\dim(L) \leq 3$  then the algebraic homology of  $L$ ,  $H_*^A(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , determines the answer completely (cf. see section 12.5 of [7]).

The next theorem gives a partial answer to the algebraic realization question in one direction, for all dimensions, in terms of the algebraic topology of the pairs  $N \subseteq M$  and  $L \subseteq L_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a complexification of  $L$ . First some preliminaries.

Let  $R$  be any commutative ring with unity. For an  $R$  orientable nonsingular compact real algebraic variety  $X$  define  $KH_*(X, R)$  to be the kernel of the induced map

$$i_*: H_*(X, R) \rightarrow H_*(X_{\mathbb{C}}, R)$$

on homology, where  $i: X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the inclusion map into some nonsingular projective complexification. In [14] it is shown that  $KH_*(X, R)$  is independent of the complexification  $X \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$  and thus an (entire rational) isomorphism invariant of  $X$  (see Section 2 for the definition of complexification we use in this note). Dually, denote the image of the homomorphism

$$i^*: H^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}, R) \rightarrow H^*(X, R)$$

by  $\text{Im } H^*(X, R)$ , which is also an isomorphism invariant.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $M$  be a closed smooth manifold,  $N \subseteq M$  a smooth closed  $n$ -dimensional submanifold and  $L$  an algebraic model for  $N$ . Suppose that one of the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  *$N$  is oriented and there exists a cohomology class  $u \in H^n(M, \mathbb{Q})$ , which belongs to the subalgebra generated by the Pontrjagin classes of (the tangent bundle of)  $M$ , with  $u([L]) \neq 0$  and  $[L] \in KH_n(L, \mathbb{Q})$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a cohomology class  $u \in H^n(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , which belongs to the subalgebra generated by the squares of the Stiefel–Whitney classes of (the tangent bundle of)  $M$ , with  $u([L]) \neq 0$  and  $[L] \in KH_n(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .*

Then the pair  $(M, N)$  has no algebraic model of the form  $(X, L)$ .

If  $L$  is as in the above theorem, then the vector bundle over  $L$ , obtained by pulling back the normal bundle of  $N$  in  $M$ , has no strongly algebraic structure.

*Example 1.3.* Consider the smooth manifolds  $N' = \mathbb{R}P^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}P^4 = M$  so that the fundamental class of  $N'$  is not zero in  $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Inside a small four ball centred at a point  $p$  of  $N'$  connect sum another copy of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  to  $N'$  (note that  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$ ). So we have obtained an embedded Klein bottle,  $N = KB \subseteq M$  realizing the same homology class as  $N'$ . Hence, if  $\omega_1$  is the first Stiefel–Whitney class of  $M$ , then  $\omega_1^2([N]) = \omega_1^2([N']) \neq 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** *There exists an algebraic model  $L$  of the Klein bottle with  $[L] \in KH_2(L_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .*

Hence, if  $L$  is as in the above proposition then by the above theorem the smooth pair  $(M, N)$  has no algebraic model of the form  $(X, L)$ .

*Example 1.5.* Consider two copies of the smooth manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  one containing an embedded oriented closed surface  $F$  and the other an embedded torus  $T^2$  both realizing nonzero homology classes. For example, let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^2$  be any smooth algebraic curve and  $T^2$  an elliptic curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Now embed  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^2$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^8$  using the Segre embedding

$$([z_0, z_1, z_2], [w_0, w_1, w_2]) \longmapsto [z_0w_0, \dots, z_1w_1, \dots, z_2w_2].$$

Then  $F \times T^2$  realizes a nonzero homology class, say  $\alpha \in H_4(\mathbb{C}P^8, \mathbb{Q})$ . In particular,  $p_1([F \times T^2]) \neq 0$ , where  $p_1$  is the first Pontrjagin class of  $\mathbb{C}P^8$ . Embed smoothly  $\mathbb{C}P^8$  into some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that the submanifold  $F \times T^2$  maps diffeomorphically onto  $F' \times S^1 \times S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}(n - 4k) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $F' \subseteq \mathbb{R}(n - 4k)$  is an algebraic model for  $F$  and  $S^1$  is the standard unit circle. Call this algebraic variety  $L$ . Since  $S^1$  bounds in its complexification  $S^1_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}P^1 = S^2$  so does  $L$  and hence by the above theorem the pair  $(\mathbb{C}P^8, F \times T^2)$  has no real algebraic model of the form  $(X, L)$ .

Indeed, it is apparent from the above argument that the same works if we replace  $S^1 \times S^1$  by  $X_1 \times X_2$ , where both are nonsingular compact connected real algebraic curves one of which is separating (homologically trivial in its complexification).

*Remark 1.6.* In Example 1.5 let  $F = S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^2$ . By the example below any topological vector bundle  $S^2$  is strongly algebraic. We also know that any topological real vector bundle over  $S^1 \times S^1$  is strongly algebraic because the homology of  $S^1 \times S^1$  is algebraic (cf. corollary 12.5.4 and remark 12.6.8 of [7]). Hence, by Theorem 1.1 we conclude that, not every topological real vector bundle over  $S^2 \times S^1 \times S^1$  has a strongly algebraic structure, even though any topological real vector bundle over  $S^2$  or  $S^1 \times S^1$  has a strongly algebraic structure.

Any strongly algebraic complex line bundle over the standard torus  $S^1 \times S^1$  is trivial, because any entire rational map from  $S^1 \times S^1$  to the Grassmann variety  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is null homotopic (see theorems 2.4 and 4.2 of [8]). However, we cannot use this fact to get examples as above. Indeed, since any topological real vector bundle over  $S^1 \times S^1$  is strongly algebraic we can even find an algebraic model  $(S^1 \times S^1, X)$  for the pair  $(E, \mathbb{C}P^2)$ , where  $E$  is any given smooth elliptic curve in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . In other words, the (strongly algebraic) normal bundle of  $S^1 \times S^1$  in  $X$  has topologically the

structure of a complex vector bundle, even though this complex structure cannot be made complex algebraic.

*Example 1.7.* It is well known that any continuous vector bundle over the standard  $k$ -sphere  $S^k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  has a strongly algebraic structure ([20, 21]) and therefore if  $M$  is a closed smooth manifold with an embedded  $k$ -sphere as a submanifold then  $M$  has an algebraic model where this submanifold is replaced with a subvariety isomorphic to the standard sphere  $S^k$ .

*Remark 1.8.* In their work [10] Bos, Levenberg, Milman and Taylor prove the following nice result: let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth compact submanifold. Then  $M$  is algebraic (a union of components of an algebraic variety) if and only if  $M$  satisfies a tangential Markov inequality with exponent one, i.e. there exists  $C = C(M) > 0$  such that

$$|D_T p(x)| \leq C (\deg p) \|p\|_M, \quad x \in M$$

for all polynomials  $p$ , where  $D_T$  denotes any tangential derivative and  $\|p\|_M$  the supremum norm of  $p$  on  $M$ . Combining this with Example 1.5 (Example 1.3) we arrive at the following interesting conclusion: the Markov inequality, mentioned above, will never hold on the embedded manifold  $M = \mathbb{C}P^s \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $M = \mathbb{R}P^4 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ) no matter how we isotope it, even in some larger space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ , provided that the isomorphism type of  $L$  is kept fixed. On the other hand, by the Akbulut–King result mentioned in the introduction we can isotope  $M$  to an algebraic variety in some larger space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ , on which the Markov inequality is trivially satisfied, if we are willing to replace  $L$  with some other algebraic model of the smooth manifold  $F \times T^2$  (Klein bottle).

## 2. Proofs

All real algebraic varieties under consideration in this report are compact and nonsingular. It is well known that real projective varieties are affine (proposition 2.4.1 of [1] or theorem 3.4.4 of [7]). Moreover, compact affine real algebraic varieties are projective (corollary 2.5.14 of [1]) and therefore we will not distinguish between real compact affine varieties and real projective varieties.

For real algebraic varieties  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$  a map  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be entire rational if there exist  $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , such that each  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere on  $X$  and  $F = (f_1/g_1, \dots, f_s/g_s)$ . We say  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphic to each other if there are entire rational maps  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $G: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $F \circ G = \text{id}_Y$  and  $G \circ F = \text{id}_X$ . Isomorphic algebraic varieties will be regarded the same. A complexification  $X_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^N$  of  $X$  will mean that  $X$  is embedded into some projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^N$  and  $X_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^N$  is the complexification of the pair  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}P^N$ . We also require the complexification to be nonsingular (blow up  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  along smooth centres away from  $X$  defined over reals if necessary, [9, 12]). We refer the reader to [1, 7] for the basic definitions and facts about real algebraic geometry.

For a compact nonsingular real algebraic variety  $X$ , let  $H_k^A(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \subseteq H_k(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be the subgroup of classes represented by algebraic subvarieties of  $X$  and let  $H_A^k(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be the Poincaré dual of  $H_{n-k}^A(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . These are well known and very useful in the study of real algebraic varieties. Also we define  $H_A^k(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  to be the subgroup

$$\{\alpha^2 \mid \alpha \in H_A^k(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)\} \subseteq H_A^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

(cup product preserves algebraic cycles [2]).

It is well known that Grassmann varieties together with their canonical bundles have canonical real algebraic structures. Pullbacks of these canonical bundles via entire rational maps, from  $X$  into the Grassmannians, are called strongly algebraic vector bundles over  $X$ . A continuous vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  is said to have a strongly algebraic structure if it is continuously isomorphic to a strongly algebraic vector bundle, or equivalently, if the continuous map classifying  $E$  is homotopic to an entire rational map.

Akbulut and King showed that  $H_A^k(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  and Pontrjagin classes of  $X$  are pullbacks of some classes of  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  ([5]). Indeed, the same works for any strongly algebraic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  over  $X$ , not just for the tangent bundle, because the complexification (as a vector bundle) of any strongly algebraic vector bundle over  $X$  extends over some complexification  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $X$ . The reason is that the real Grassmann variety,  $G_{\mathbb{R}}(n, k)$ , of the real  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the complex Grassmann variety,  $G_{\mathbb{C}}(n, k)$ , of the complex  $k$ -planes in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as its natural complexification and therefore any entire rational map from  $X$  into  $G_{\mathbb{R}}(n, k)$  gives rise to a regular map, maybe after some blowing-ups of the domain along centres away from the real part  $X$  ([9, 12]), from  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  into  $G_{\mathbb{C}}(n, k)$ . We can summarize this as follows:

**THEOREM 2.1** ([16]). *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular compact connected real algebraic variety and*

$$P = \{e^2(E), p_i(E) \mid E \rightarrow X \text{ is a strongly algebraic vector bundle}\}$$

and

$$W^2 = \{w_i^2(E) \mid E \rightarrow X \text{ is a strongly algebraic vector bundle}\}$$

which are subsets of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  respectively, where  $e(E)$ ,  $p_i(E)$  and  $w_i(E)$  are the Euler, the Pontrjagin and the Stiefel–Whitney classes of  $E$ . Then,  $\text{Im } H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\text{Im } H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  contain the subalgebras generated by  $P$  and  $W^2$  respectively.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Suppose there exists an algebraic model of the form  $(X, L)$ . Then, by Theorem 2.1 we have  $u = i^*(v)$  for some  $v \in H^n(X_{\mathbb{C}}, R)$ , where  $i: X \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the inclusion map and  $R$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . By the hypothesis  $0 \neq u([L]) = i^*(v)([L]) = v(i_*([L])) = v(0) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we are done.

*Proof of Proposition 1.4.* Consider the 2-torus

$$T^2 = S^1 \times S^1 = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1, y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1\}$$

with the algebraic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action given by

$$(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto (-x_1, -x_2, -y_1, y_2).$$

The quotient is the smooth Klein bottle. Indeed, it is a nonsingular real algebraic variety. To see this first consider the affine complexification of  $S^1 \times S^1$  in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  given by the same equations. The  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action extends over the complexification so that the subset of the complexification on which the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action agrees with the complex conjugation is the empty set. Now, Theorem 2.2(a) of [15] (or [17]) proves that the quotient is a nonsingular real algebraic variety, say  $L$ .

Let us now show that  $[L] \in KH_2(L_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Choose an orientation for the first factor of  $S^1 \times S^1$  and let  $D$  denote the closure of one of two the disk components of  $S_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = S^2 - S^1$ , whose complex orientation agrees with this orientation of  $S^1$  on the

boundary. Note also that the action extends over the complexification  $S^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$([x_0, x_1, x_2], [y_0, y_1, y_2]) \mapsto ([x_0, -x_1, -x_2], [y_0, -y_1, y_2]),$$

where we identify  $S^1$  with

$$\{[x_0, x_1, x_2] \in \mathbb{R}P^2 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = x_0^2\}$$

with projective complexification

$$S^1_{\mathbb{C}} = \{[x_0, x_1, x_2] \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = x_0^2\}$$

which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

Since the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on the first factor of  $S^1 \times S^1$  is orientation preserving ( $180^\circ$  rotation) its leaves invariant the solid torus  $D \times S^1$ , which bounds  $S^1 \times S^1$  in its projective complexification  $S^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times S^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ . In the quotient, the two ends of the half of this solid torus,

$$D \times \{(y_1, y_2) \in S^1 \mid y_2 \geq 0\},$$

identifies and gives the solid Klein bottle bounding  $L$ . This finishes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. AKBULUT and H. KING. *Topology of real algebraic sets*. M.S.R.I. book series (Springer, 1992).
- [2] S. AKBULUT and H. KING. A relative Nash theorem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **267** (1981), 465–481.
- [3] S. AKBULUT and H. KING. On approximating submanifolds by algebraic sets and a solution to the Nash conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), 87–98.
- [4] S. AKBULUT and H. KING. Algebraicity of immersions. *Topology* **31** (1992), 701–712.
- [5] S. AKBULUT and H. KING. Transcendental submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **68** (1993), 308–318.
- [6] R. BENEDETTI and A. TOGNOLI. On real algebraic vector bundles. *Bull. Sc. Math.* **2** série (1980), 89–112.
- [7] J. BOCHNAK, M. COSTE and M. F. ROY. *Real algebraic geometry*. Ergebnisse der Math. vol. 36 (Springer, 1998).
- [8] J. BOCHNAK and W. KUCHARZ. On real algebraic morphisms into even-dimensional spheres. *Ann. Math.* **128** (1988), 415–433.
- [9] E. BIERSTONE and P. MILMAN. Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximal strata of a local invariant. *Invent. Math.* **128** (1997), 207–302.
- [10] L. BOS, N. LEVENBERG, P. MILMAN and B. A. TAYLOR. Tangential Markov inequalities characterize algebraic submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **44** (1995), (1), 115–138.
- [11] K. H. DOVERMANN and M. MASUDA. Equivariant algebraic realization of smooth manifolds and vector bundles. *Contemp. Math.* **182** (1995), 11–28.
- [12] H. HIRONAKA. Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. *Ann. Math.* **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [13] J. NASH. Real algebraic manifolds. *Ann. Math.* **56** (1952), 405–421.
- [14] Y. OZAN. On homology of real algebraic varieties to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [15] Y. OZAN. Quotients of real algebraic sets via finite groups. *Turkish J. Math.* **21** (1997), 493–499.
- [16] Y. OZAN. Characteristic classes and algebraic homology of real algebraic varieties, to appear in the *Proceedings of the 11th Congress of the Turkish Mathematical Society*.
- [17] C. PROCESI and G. SCHWARZ. Inequalities defining orbit spaces. *Invent. Math.* **81** (1985), 539–554.
- [18] H. SEIFERT. Algebraische approximation von mannigfaltigkeiten. *Math. Zeitschrift.* **41** (1936), 1–17.
- [19] D. Y. SUH. Quotients of real algebraic G varieties and algebraic realization problems. *Osaka J. Math.* **33** (1996), 399–410.
- [20] R. G. SWAN. Vector bundles and projective modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **230** (1977), 201–234.
- [21] R. G. SWAN. K-theory of quadratic hypersurfaces. *Ann. Math.* **122** (1985), 113–153.
- [22] A. TOGNOLI. Su una Congettura di Nash. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **27** (1973), 167–185.