

$\bar{\nabla}$ -HARMONIC CURVES AND SURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE E^n

BENGÜ KILIÇ

ABSTRACT. In this study we consider $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic curves and surfaces in Euclidean n -spaces E^n . We proved that every weak biharmonic curve is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic. We also showed that every 1-parallel surface in E^4 is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic, but the converse is not true. Finally we give the necessary condition for Vranceanu's surface to become $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be an isometric immersion of an n -dimensional connected Riemannian manifold M into an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} . For all local formulas and computations, we may assume f as an imbedding and thus we shall often identify $p \in M$ with $f(p) \in \tilde{M}$. The tangent space $T_p M$ is identified with a subspace $f_*(T_p M)$ of $T_p \tilde{M}$ where f_* is the differential map of f . Letters X, Y and Z (resp. ζ, μ and ξ) vector fields tangent (resp. normal) to M . We denote the tangent bundle of M (resp. \tilde{M}) by TM (resp. $T\tilde{M}$), unit tangent bundle of M by UM and the normal bundle by $T^\perp M$. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ be the Levi-Civita connections of \tilde{M} and M , resp. Then the Gauss formula is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \quad (1.1)$$

where h denotes the second fundamental form. The Weingarten formula is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi \quad (1.2)$$

where A denotes the shape operator and D the normal connection. Clearly $h(X, Y) = h(Y, X)$ and A is related to h as $\langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Riemannian metrics of M and \tilde{M} (see [3]).

Received by the editors April 08, 2005, Accepted: Sept. 08, 2005 .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55C40, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic submanifolds, biharmonic curves and surface.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$ be an local orthonormal frame field on \widetilde{M} where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ are tangent vector and $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$ are normal vector. The connection form w_i^j are defined by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_i} = \sum w_i^j e_j ; w_i^j = -w_j^i, 1 \leq i, j \leq m \quad (1.3)$$

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j^k(e_i) e_k, \quad (1.4)$$

$$D_{e_i} e_\alpha = \sum_{\beta=n+1}^m w_\alpha^\beta(e_i) e_\beta \quad (1.5)$$

The covariant derivations of h is defined by

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z), \quad (1.6)$$

where X, Y, Z tangent vector fields over M and $\overline{\nabla}$ is the van der Waerden Bortolotti connection. Then we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = (\overline{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z) = (\overline{\nabla}_Z h)(Y, X)$$

which is called *codazzi equations*.

If $\overline{\nabla} h = 0$ then M is said to have parallel second fundamental form (i.e. *1-parallel*) (see [7]).

It is well known that $\overline{\nabla} h$ is a normal bundle valued tensor of type $(0, 3)$. We define the second covariant derivative of h by

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\nabla}_W \overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) &= D_W((\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z)) - (\overline{\nabla}_X h)(\nabla_W Y, Z) \\ &\quad - (\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, \nabla_W Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_W X} h)(Y, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

If $\overline{\nabla}^2 h = 0$ then M is said to have parallel third fundamental form (i.e. *2-parallel*) [1].

Let $f : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be an isometric immersion of an n -dimensional connected Riemannian manifold M into an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} . For the orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T_p M$ the mean curvature vector H of f is defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i). \quad (1.8)$$

The Laplacian of H associated with D is defined by

$$\Delta^D H = \sum_{i=1}^n (D_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} H - D_{e_i} D_{e_i} H) \quad (1.9)$$

where D is the normal connection of M (see [5]).

If $\Delta^D H = 0$ then M is called *D-Harmonic* (or *weak biharmonic*). If $\Delta^D H + cH = 0$ then M is called *harmonic 1-type* (see[6]).

We give the following definition

Definition 1.1. The Laplacian of H associated with $\overline{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$\Delta^{\overline{\nabla}} H = \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} H - \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} H) \quad (1.10)$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the van der Waerden Bortolotti connection of M defined by (1.6). If $\Delta^{\bar{\nabla}}H = 0$ then M is called $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.

2. $\bar{\nabla}$ -HARMONIC CURVES

Consider an immersed curve $\beta = \beta(s) : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$ where s denotes the arclength parameter of β .

$$T = T(s) = \beta'(s)$$

will be the unit tangent vector field of β . Assume that β is not a plane curve (it is not contained in any 2-plane of \mathbb{E}^m). So we can define a 2-dimensional subbundle say ν of the normal bundle Λ of β into \mathbb{E}^m as

$$\nu(s) = \text{span}\{\xi_2, \xi_3\}(s) \tag{2.1}$$

where ξ_2, ξ_3 are unit normal vector fields to β defined by

$$T'(s) = k_1(s)\xi_2(s)$$

$$\xi_2'(s) = -k_1(s)T(s) + k_2(s)\xi_3(s)$$

where $k_1 > 0$ is the curvature (the first curvature if $m > 3$) and k_2 is the torsion (the second curvature with $\tau > 0$ if $m > 3$) of β .

Denote by ν^\perp the orthogonal complementary subbundle of ν in Λ . Certainly the fibers of ν^\perp have dimension $m - 3$. Therefore the Frenet equations of β can be written as

$$T'(s) = k_1(s)\xi_2(s) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\xi_2'(s) = -k_1(s)T(s) + k_2(s)\xi_3(s) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\xi_3'(s) = -k_2(s)\xi_2(s) + \delta(s) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\delta(s) \in \nu^\perp(s)$, $\delta(s) = k_3(s)\xi_4(s)$ for all $s \in I$.

The curvature vector field of β (the mean curvature vector field of β) is defined by

$$H(s) = T'(s) = k_1(s)\xi_2(s) = h(T, T), \nabla_T T = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

Equations (2.3) and (2.4) also give how the normal connection D of β into \mathbb{E}^m behaves on ν

$$D_T \xi_2 = k_2(s)\xi_3(s) \tag{2.6}$$

$$D_T \xi_3 = -k_2(s)\xi_2(s) + \delta(s). \tag{2.7}$$

Let Δ^D be the Laplacian associated with D . One can use the Frenet equations (2.6) and (2.7) to compute $\Delta^D H$ and so one obtains

$$\Delta^D H = (-\kappa_1'' + \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2)v_2 + (-2\kappa_1' \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2')v_3 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 v_4. \tag{2.8}$$

In [5] it has shown that any immersed curve $\gamma : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$ with the mean curvature vector satisfying $\Delta H = 0$, is a straight line. Recently, in [2] the authors gave a full classification of the immersed curves in an Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^m with the mean curvature vector satisfying $\Delta^D H = 0$.

In [6] we give the following results.

Proposition 1. *Let γ be a Frenet curve of harmonic one type (i.e. $\Delta^D H + cH = 0$) if and only if*

$$-\kappa_1'' + \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 + c\kappa_1 = 0, \quad 2\kappa_1' \kappa_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2' = 0, \quad \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By virtue of Proposition 1 one can get the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let γ be a harmonic 1-type curve*

i) *If $\kappa_1 = 0$ then γ is a straight line.*

ii) *If $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0, \kappa_3 = 0$ then*

$$\kappa_1(s) = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{(4c_1)^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{e^{4s-2c_2} + 1}{e^{2s-c_2}}} \text{ and } \kappa_2(s) = 2\sqrt{c_1} \left(\frac{e^{2s-c_2}}{e^{4s-2c_2} + 1} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

Corollary 2. *Let plane curve γ be a harmonic 1-type curve. Then*

$\kappa_1'' \pm c\kappa_1 = 0$. *That is*

a) $\kappa_1 = b_1 \text{Cos}(\sqrt{c}s) + b_2 \text{Sin}(\sqrt{c}s)$ for $\kappa_1'' + c\kappa_1 = 0$,

b) $\kappa_1 = b_1 e^{\sqrt{c}s} + b_2 e^{-\sqrt{c}s}$, for $\kappa_1'' - c\kappa_1 = 0$.

Corollary 3. *Every weak biharmonic curve are $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.*

Proof. Let $\beta = M$ be a space curve of \mathbb{E}^m with arclength parameter. Then

$$T = T(s) = \beta'(s) \text{ and}$$

$$\beta''(s) = \nabla_T T + h(T, T) = k_1(s)\xi_2(s)$$

which implies that $\nabla_T T = 0$. Therefore the equation (1.6) reduce to

$$(\bar{\nabla}_T h)(T, T) = D_T h(T, T).$$

So the equation (1.9) and (1.10) are equal (i.e. $\Delta^D H = \Delta^{\bar{\nabla}} H$). This complete the proof of the result.

Corollary 4. *Every $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic curve β is 2-parallel.*

Proof. Let β be a smooth curve in \mathbb{E}^m with arclength parameter. Then differentiating $T = \beta'(s)$ we get

$$H(s) = \beta''(s) = h(T, T)$$

and

$$(\bar{\nabla}_T h)(T, T) = D_T h(T, T)$$

and

$$(\bar{\nabla}_T \bar{\nabla}_T h)(T, T) = D_T D_T h(T, T).$$

Therefore $\Delta^{\bar{\nabla}} H = (\bar{\nabla}_T \bar{\nabla}_T h)(T, T)$. So $\Delta^{\bar{\nabla}} H = 0$ implies that $\bar{\nabla}^2 h = 0$ (i.e. β is 2-parallel curve). The converse of this corollary is also true.

3. $\bar{\nabla}$ -HARMONIC SURFACES

Let M be a surfaces in \mathbb{E}^{2+d} then the equation (1.10) reduces to

$$\Delta^{\bar{\nabla}} H = \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_1} e_1} H + \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_2} e_2} H - \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} H - \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} H. \quad (3.1)$$

In the present section we will consider $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic surfaces M in \mathbb{E}^{2+d} . First, we give the following result.

Proposition 2. *Every surface in \mathbb{E}^3 is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.*

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal frame field of $T_p M$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= \lambda_1 e_2 \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= -\lambda_2 e_1 \\ \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= -\lambda_1 e_1 \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= \lambda_2 e_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Substituting (1.6-1.8) and (3.2) into (3.1) after some calculations we get $\Delta \bar{\nabla} H = 0$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{E}^{2+d}$ be smooth surfaces in \mathbb{E}^{2+d} . Then*

$$\Delta \bar{\nabla} H = \Delta^D H + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 D_{e_i} D_{e_i} H$$

where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the orthonormal frame field of $T_p M$ and H is the mean curvature vector of M .

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a orthonormal frame field of $T_p M$. By (1.10) we get

$$\Delta \bar{\nabla} H = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} H - \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} H). \tag{3.3}$$

Substituting $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 h(e_i, e_i)$ into (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta \bar{\nabla} H &= (\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_1} e_1} h)(e_1, e_1) + (\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_1} e_1} h)(e_2, e_2) + (\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_2} e_2} h)(e_1, e_1) \\ &+ (\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_2} e_2} h)(e_2, e_2) - (\bar{\nabla}_{e_1} \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} h)(e_1, e_1) - (\bar{\nabla}_{e_1} \bar{\nabla}_{e_1} h)(e_2, e_2) \\ &- (\bar{\nabla}_{e_2} \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} h)(e_1, e_1) - (\bar{\nabla}_{e_2} \bar{\nabla}_{e_2} h)(e_2, e_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting (1.4), (1.5) and (3.2) into (3.4) and using (1.9) we get

$$2\Delta \bar{\nabla} H = \Delta^D H + \sum_{i=1}^2 D_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} H \tag{3.5}$$

or similarly

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta \bar{\nabla} H &= D_{\nabla_{e_1} e_1} H - D_{e_1} D_{e_1} H + D_{\nabla_{e_2} e_2} H \\ &- D_{e_2} D_{e_2} H + D_{\nabla_{e_1} e_1} H + D_{\nabla_{e_2} e_2} H. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Adding and subtracting the terms $D_{e_1} D_{e_1} H$ and $D_{e_2} D_{e_2} H$ into the equations (3.6) we get

$$-2\Delta \bar{\nabla} H + 2\Delta^D H + \sum_{i=1}^2 D_{e_i} D_{e_i} H = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Proposition 3. [4] *Let M be a connected normally flat surfaces in \mathbb{E}^5 . e_3 is parallel to the mean curvature vector H of M such that*

$$A_{e_3} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{bmatrix}, A_{e_4} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta \end{bmatrix}, A_{e_5} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.7}$$

Using (3.3), (3.7), (1.6), (1.7) and codazzi equations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \bar{\nabla} H &= \{-e_1 e_1 (\lambda + \eta) - e_2 e_2 (\lambda + \eta) + 2e_2 (\lambda + \eta) w_1^2(e_1) - 2e_1 (\lambda + \eta) w_1^2(e_2) \\
&\quad + (\lambda + \eta)[(w_3^4(e_1))^2 + (w_3^4(e_2))^2 + (w_3^5(e_1))^2 + (w_3^5(e_2))^2]\} e_3 \\
&\quad + \{2w_3^4(e_2)[w_1^2(e_1)(\lambda + \eta) - e_2(\lambda + \eta)] - 2e_1(\lambda + \eta)w_3^4(e_1) \\
&\quad - 2w_1^2(e_2)[w_3^4(e_1)(\lambda + 2\eta) - 2\beta w_1^2(e_2) - e_1(\beta)] \\
&\quad + (\lambda + \eta)[-e_1(w_3^4(e_1)) - e_2(w_3^4(e_2)) - w_3^5(e_1)w_5^4(e_1) \\
&\quad - w_3^5(e_2)w_5^4(e_2)]\} e_4 + \{2w_3^5(e_2)[w_1^2(e_1)(\lambda + \eta) - e_2(\lambda + \eta)] \\
&\quad - 2w_3^5(e_1)[w_1^2(e_2)(\lambda + \eta) + e_1(\lambda + \eta)] \\
&\quad + (\lambda + \eta)[-e_1(w_3^5(e_1)) - e_2(w_3^5(e_2)) - w_3^4(e_1)w_4^5(e_1) - w_3^4(e_2)w_4^5(e_2)]\} e_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Substituting (3.8) into (1.10) we get the following result.

Proposition 4. *Let M be a connected normally flat surfaces in \mathbb{E}^5 with e_3 is parallel to the mean curvature vector H of M . If M is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic surfaces then*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -e_1 e_1 (\lambda + \eta) - e_2 e_2 (\lambda + \eta) + 2e_2 (\lambda + \eta) w_1^2(e_1) - 2e_1 (\lambda + \eta) w_1^2(e_2) \\
&\quad + (\lambda + \eta)[(w_3^4(e_1))^2 + (w_3^4(e_2))^2 + (w_3^5(e_1))^2 + (w_3^5(e_2))^2], \\
0 &= 2w_3^4(e_2)[w_1^2(e_1)(\lambda + \eta) - e_2(\lambda + \eta)] - 2e_1(\lambda + \eta)w_3^4(e_1) \\
&\quad - 2w_1^2(e_2)[w_3^4(e_1)(\lambda + 2\eta) - 2\beta w_1^2(e_2) - e_1(\beta)] \\
&\quad + (\lambda + \eta)[-e_1(w_3^4(e_1)) - e_2(w_3^4(e_2)) - w_3^5(e_1)w_5^4(e_1) - w_3^5(e_2)w_5^4(e_2)], \\
0 &= 2w_3^5(e_2)[w_1^2(e_1)(\lambda + \eta) - e_2(\lambda + \eta)] \\
&\quad - 2w_3^5(e_1)[w_1^2(e_2)(\lambda + \eta) + e_1(\lambda + \eta)] \\
&\quad + (\lambda + \eta)[-e_1(w_3^5(e_1)) - e_2(w_3^5(e_2)) - w_3^4(e_1)w_4^5(e_1) - w_3^4(e_2)w_4^5(e_2)].
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.2. We give some examples;

1) The torus \mathbb{T}^2 embedded in \mathbb{E}^4 by

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = \{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \cos \varphi, \sin \varphi) : \theta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.

2) The helical cylinder \mathbb{H}^2 embedded in \mathbb{E}^4 by

$$\mathbb{H}^2 = \{(\theta, c \cos \varphi, c \sin \varphi, d\varphi) : \theta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.

3) The Klein Bottle \mathbb{K}^2 embeded in \mathbb{E}^4 by

$$\mathbb{K}^2 = \{(\cos \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \cos 2\theta \sin \varphi, \sin 2\theta \sin \varphi) : \theta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic.

4) Möbius band \mathbb{M}^2 embedded in \mathbb{E}^4 by

$$\mathbb{M}^2 = \{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \varphi \cos \frac{\theta}{2}, \varphi \sin \frac{\theta}{2}) : \theta, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

has

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \bar{\nabla} H &= \left\{ e_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{4 + \varphi^2} \right) + e_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{4 + \varphi^2} \right) + \frac{4\varphi}{4 + \varphi^2} e_2 \left(\frac{1}{4 + \varphi^2} \right) \right\} e_3 \\
&\quad \left\{ \frac{3\varphi}{4 + \varphi^2} e_1 \left(\frac{1}{4 + \varphi^2} \right) + \frac{2}{4 + \varphi^2} e_1 \left(\frac{\varphi}{4 + \varphi^2} \right) \right\} e_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5. [9] Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^n$ be isometric immersion. If M is 1-parallel (i.e. $\bar{\nabla}h = 0$) then $f(M)$ is one of the following surfaces

- i) \mathbb{E}^2
- ii) $S^2 \subset \mathbb{E}^3$
- iii) $IR^1 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{E}^3$
- iv) $S^1(a) \times S^1(b) \subset \mathbb{E}^4$
- v) $V^2 \subset \mathbb{E}^5$.

Comparing above proposition with the Examples we have the following result.

Corollary 5. Every 1-parallel surface in \mathbb{E}^4 is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic. But the converse is not true.

Proposition 6. Vranceanu surfaces is given by

$$x(s, t) = (u(s) \cos s \cos t, u(s) \cos s \sin t, u(s) \sin s \cos t, u(s) \sin s \sin t)$$

is $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonic surfaces if and only if the equation

$$\alpha_s A(-4\alpha\kappa A_s - 1) + \beta_s A(-2\alpha\kappa A_s - 1) - A_s(\alpha_{ss} + \beta_{ss}) - 3\kappa^2 A_s(\alpha - \beta) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

is satisfied, where $u = u(s)$ is a smooth function and

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{A}, A = \sqrt{u^2 + (u')^2}, \kappa = \frac{u'}{u}, \beta = \frac{2(u')^2 - uu'' + u^2}{(u^2 + (u')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Proof. We choose a moving frame e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 such that e_1, e_2 are tangent to M and e_3, e_4 are normal to M as given by the following

$$e_1 = (-\cos s \sin t, \cos s \cos t, -\sin s \sin t, \sin s \cos t)$$

$$e_2 = \frac{1}{A}(B \cos t, B \sin t, C \cos t, C \sin t)$$

$$e_3 = \frac{1}{A}(-C \cos t, -C \sin t, B \cos t, B \sin t)$$

$$e_4 = (-\sin s \sin t, \sin s \cos t, \cos s \sin t, -\cos s \cos t)$$

where we put $A = \sqrt{u^2 + (u')^2}$, $B = u' \cos s - u \sin s$, $C = u' \sin s + u \cos s$. Then we have

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

Using (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -\alpha\kappa e_2, \\ \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= \alpha\kappa e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$$h(e_1, e_1) = \alpha e_3, \quad h(e_2, e_2) = \beta e_3, \quad h(e_1, e_2) = -\alpha e_4. \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting (1.4), (1.5), (3.10) and (3.11) into (1.10) we get the result. \square

ÖZET: Bu çalışmada, n -boyutlu E^n Öklid uzayında $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonik eğriler ve yüzeyler gözönde bulunduruldu. Her zayıf biharmonik eğrinin $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonik olduğu ispatlandı. E^4 deki her 1-parael yüzeyin $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonik olduğu fakat tersinin doğru olmadığı gösterildi. Sonuçta, Vranceanu yüzeyinin $\bar{\nabla}$ -harmonik olması için gerekli koşul verildi.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Arslan, U. Lumiste, C. Murathan, C. Ozgur, *2-semiparallel surfaces in space forms 1:Two particular cases*, (2000), Proc.Est.Ac., 49(3),139-148.
 - [2] M. Barros, O.J. Garay, *On submanifolds with harmonic mean curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129(1995). 2545-2549.
 - [3] B.Y.Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds*,Dekker,1973.
 - [4] J.Deprez, *Semi-parallel surfaces in Euclidean spaces*, Journal of Geometry 25,(1985),192-200.
 - [5] I. Dimitric, *Submanifolds of \mathbb{E}^m with harmonic mean curvature vector*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, (1992), 20, 53-65.
 - [6] B. Kiliç, K. Arslan, *On harmonic type submanifolds*, to appear.
 - [7] U. Lumiste, *Submanifolds with parallel fundamental form*, Handbook of Differential Geometry , (1999),Vol 1, Chapter 7, 86 p.
 - [8] U. Lumiste, *Small-dimensional irreducible submanifolds with parallel third fundamental form*, Tartu Ülik. Toim. Acta et. Comm. Univ. Tartuensis 734(1986), 50-62.
 - [9] R.Walden, *Untermannigfaltigkeiten mit Paralleler Zweiter Fundamentalform in Euklidischen Räumen und Sphären*, Manuscripta Math.10,91-102 (1973).
- Current address:* Balıkesir University, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Balıkesir, TURKEY.
E-mail address: benguk@balikesir.edu.tr