

Generalized Bruck-Reilly *-Extension as a New Example of a Monoid with a Non-Finitely Generated Group of Units

Eylem G. Karpuz

Balikesir University, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Science, Cagis Campus, 10145, Balikesir, Türkiye
e-mail: eguzel@balikesir.edu.tr

Received Date: December 7, 2009

Accepted Date: December 15, 2009

Abstract. We present a new example of a finitely presented monoid, namely Bruck-Reilly extension of generalized Bruck-Reilly *-extension of free group with infinite rank, the group of units of which is not finitely generated.

Key words: Bruck-Reilly Extension; Monoid Presentation; Unit.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F05, 20M05.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In combinatorial group and semigroup theory, the relationship between properties of a monoid M and the group of units $U(M)$ has often been subject to research. In this direction in [1], the author studied the properties of finite presentability and solvable word problem for the special monoids and the group of units. After that in [11], the author showed that the conjugacy problem for a special monoid was reducible to the conjugacy problem for its group of units. Then the same author in [12] proved that the group of units of every special monoid was finitely presented. But for any finitely presented monoid it is natural to ask the following question.

Question: Does the group of units of a finitely presented monoid have to be finitely generated?

This question was answered negatively in [3]. In that paper the authors have given an explicit example that has the form of a double Bruck-Reilly extension of the free group with infinite rank. In this short paper, we also answer the question given above with negatively as giving a similar example to [3] by considering the Bruck-Reilly extension of the generalized Bruck-Reilly *-extension (its presentation has been firstly given in [4]) of free group with infinite rank.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a monoid and $\theta : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism. Then the Bruck-Reilly extension $BR(M, \theta)$ is the set

$$\mathbb{N}^0 \times M \times \mathbb{N}^0 = \{(p, m, q) : p, q \geq 0, m \in M\}$$

with multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1, m_1, q_1)(p_2, m_2, q_2) &= (p_1 - q_1 + t, (m_1\theta^{t-q_1})(m_2\theta^{t-p_2}), q_2 - p_2 + t), \\ t &= \max(q_1, p_2). \end{aligned}$$

$BR(M, \theta)$ is a monoid with identity $(0, 1_M, 0)$.

If M is defined by the presentation $\langle A; R \rangle$, then $BR(M, \theta)$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad \langle A, b, c; R, bc = 1, ba = (a\theta)b, ac = c(a\theta) (a \in A) \rangle$$

in terms of generators $(0, a, 0)$ ($a \in A$), $(0, 1_M, 1)$ and $(1, 1_M, 0)$ [5].

This extension is considered a fundamental construction in the theory of semigroups. Many classes of regular semigroups are characterized by Bruck-Reilly extensions; any bisimple regular w -semigroups is isomorphic to a Reilly extension of a group [9] and any simple regular w -semigroup is isomorphic to a Bruck-Reilly extension of a finite chain of groups [6, 7, 8]. Then in [2], the author have obtained a monoid which is called generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension and then given the structure of the $*$ -bisimple type A w -semigroup in which $D^* = \tilde{D}$ was obtained. After that motivated by this paper, in [10] the authors defined w^2 -chain of idempotents and then studied the structure theorem of the $*$ -bisimple type A w^2 -semigroups as generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension. Therefore, by considering these studies, in [4] the authors have found a presentation for the generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension.

Definition 1.2. [10] Let T be a monoid with H_1^* and H_1 as the H^* - and H - class which contains the identity 1_T of T , respectively. Then let β, γ be morphisms from T into H_1^* . Let u be an element in H_1 and λ_u the inner automorphism of H_1^* defined by $x \mapsto uxu^{-1}$ such that $\gamma\lambda_u = \beta\gamma$. Now we can make $S = \mathbb{N}^0 \times \mathbb{N}^0 \times T \times \mathbb{N}^0 \times \mathbb{N}^0$ into a semigroup by defining

$$(m, n, a, p, q)(m', n', a', p', q') = \begin{cases} (m, n - p + \max(p, n'), (a\beta^{\max(p, n')-p})(a'\beta^{\max(p, n')-n'}), & \text{if } q = m' \\ p' - n' + \max(p, n'), q'), & \\ (m, n, a((u^{-n'}(a'\gamma)u^{p'})\gamma^{q-m'-1}\beta^p), p, q' - m' + q), & \text{if } q > m' \\ (m - q + m', n', ((u^{-n}(a\gamma)u^p)\gamma^{m'-q-1}\beta^{n'})a', p', q'), & \text{if } q < m' \end{cases}$$

where β^0, γ^0 are interpreted as the identity map of T and u^0 is interpreted as the identity 1_T of T . The monoid $S = \mathbb{N}^0 \times \mathbb{N}^0 \times T \times \mathbb{N}^0 \times \mathbb{N}^0$ constructed above is called generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension of T determined by β, γ, u and will be denoted by $S = GBR^*(T; \beta, \gamma; u)$.

Theorem 1.1. [4] Let T be the monoid defined by the presentation $\langle X; R \rangle$, and let β, γ be morphisms from T into H_1^* (H^* -class which contains the identity 1_T of T). The monoid $S = GBR^*(T; \beta, \gamma; u)$ is then defined by the presentation

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle X, y, z, a, b; R, yz = 1, ba = 1, \\ &\quad yx = (x\gamma)y, \quad xz = z(x\gamma), \quad bx = (x\beta)b, \quad xa = a(x\beta) \\ &\quad yb = uy, \quad bz = zu, \quad uya = y, \quad azu = z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The following properties of $GBR^*(T; \beta, \gamma; u)$ are easy to derive from Definition 1.2:

- (GBR1) $GBR^*(T; \beta, \gamma; u)$ is a monoid with identity $(0, 0, 1_T, 0, 0)$.
- (GBR2) $T \cong \{0\} \times \{0\} \times T \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \leq GBR^*(T; \beta, \gamma; u)$.
- (GBR3) $U(GBR^*(T; \beta, \gamma; u)) = \{0\} \times \{0\} \times U(T) \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \cong U(T)$.

In this note since we provide a negative answer to question given above by means of Bruck-Reilly extension of generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension of free group with infinite rank FG_∞ , firstly, we define presentation of FG_∞ as a monoid as follows

$$(3) \quad \langle q_i^\epsilon; q_i^{-\epsilon} q_i^\epsilon = 1 (\epsilon = \pm 1, i \geq 0) \rangle .$$

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let M be the monoid given as Bruck-Reilly extension of generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension of FG_∞ defined by (3). The group of units of the monoid M defined by the finite presentation (16) is not finitely generated.

Proof: Let us consider generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension of FG_∞ defined by (3), under the homomorphism $\beta, \gamma : FG_\infty \rightarrow H_1^*$ (where H_1^* is the H^* -class which contains the identity of FG_∞) such that $q_i^\epsilon \mapsto q_{i+1}^\epsilon$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1, i \geq 0$). Thus by considering (2) we get the following presentation

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle q_i^\epsilon, y, z, a, b; q_i^{-\epsilon} q_i^\epsilon = 1, yz = 1, ba = 1, \\ &\quad yb = uy, \quad bz = zu, \quad uya = y, \quad azu = z, \\ &\quad yq_i^\epsilon = q_{i+1}^\epsilon y, \quad q_i^\epsilon z = zq_{i+1}^\epsilon, \quad bq_i^\epsilon = q_{i+1}^\epsilon b, \quad q_i^\epsilon a = aq_{i+1}^\epsilon \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)$. Then by considering $ba = 1, bq_i^\epsilon = q_{i+1}^\epsilon b$ we obtain $q_{i+1}^\epsilon = bq_i^\epsilon a$ and $yz = 1, yq_i^\epsilon = q_{i+1}^\epsilon y$ we get $q_{i+1}^\epsilon = yq_i^\epsilon z$.

For $i = 0$, we get $q_1^\epsilon = bq_0^\epsilon a$ and $q_1^\epsilon = yq_0^\epsilon z$.

For $i = 1$, we obtain $q_2^\epsilon = bq_1^\epsilon a = b^2 q_0^\epsilon a^2$ and $q_2^\epsilon = yq_1^\epsilon z = y^2 q_0^\epsilon a^2$.

Thus by inductive argument we have

$$(5) \quad q_i^\epsilon = b^i q_0^\epsilon a^i = y^i q_0^\epsilon z^i (\epsilon = \pm 1, i \geq 0).$$

Now we can use the equation (5) to eliminate all generators q_i^ϵ from (4). For facility in working, we rename q_0^ϵ as q^ϵ , thus we get the following finitely generated (but not finitely presented) presentation for $GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)$:

$$(6) \quad \langle q, q^{-1}, y, z, a, b; \begin{aligned} &b^i q^\epsilon a^i b^i q^{-\epsilon} a^i = 1, \quad ba = 1, \quad yz = 1, \\ &yb = uy, \quad bz = zu, \quad uya = y, \quad azu = z, \\ &b^i q^\epsilon a^i = y^i q^\epsilon z^i, \quad b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^i = b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1} b, \quad b^i q^\epsilon a^{i+1} = ab^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1}, \\ &y^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^i = y^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^{i+1} y, \quad y^i q^\epsilon z^{i+1} = zy^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^{i+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We note that it is not possible to obtain a finitely presented presentation for $GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)$ even if we apply some reductions on relations. So we define second endomorphism $\phi : GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u) \rightarrow GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \quad q^\epsilon &\mapsto bq^\epsilon a = yq^\epsilon z, \\ b &\mapsto b, \quad a \mapsto a, \\ y &\mapsto y, \quad z \mapsto z. \end{aligned}$$

Now we check ϕ defines an endomorphism from $GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)$ to itself. To do that we must control that ϕ maps the defining relations in (6) into relations that are valid in $GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (b^i q^\epsilon a^i b^i q^{-\epsilon} a^i) \phi &= b^i . bq^\epsilon a . a^i . b^i . bq^{-\epsilon} a . a^i = b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1} b^{i+1} q^{-\epsilon} a^{i+1} = 1 = 1\phi, \\ (b^i q^\epsilon a^i) \phi &= b^i . bq^\epsilon a . a^i = b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1} = y^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^{i+1} = (y^i q^\epsilon z^i) \phi, \\ (y^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^i) \phi &= y^{i+1} . yq^\epsilon z . z^i = y^{i+2} q^\epsilon z^{i+1} = y^{i+2} q^\epsilon z^{i+2} y = (y^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^{i+1} y) \phi, \\ (y^i q^\epsilon z^{i+1}) \phi &= y^i . yq^\epsilon z . z^{i+1} = y^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^{i+2} = zy^{i+2} q^\epsilon z^{i+2} = (zy^{i+1} q^\epsilon z^{i+1}) \phi, \\ (b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^i) \phi &= b^{i+1} . bq^\epsilon a . a^i = b^{i+2} q^\epsilon a^{i+1} = b^{i+2} q^\epsilon a^{i+2} b = (b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1} b) \phi, \\ (b^i q^\epsilon a^{i+1}) \phi &= b^i . bq^\epsilon a . a^{i+1} = b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+2} = ab^{i+2} q^\epsilon a^{i+2} = (ab^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1}) \phi, \\ (ba) \phi &= b . a = 1 = 1\phi, \quad (yz) \phi = y . z = 1 = 1\phi. \end{aligned}$$

The check for the remaining relations is trivial/analogous.

Thus we have monoid $BR(GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u), \phi)$ and the following presentation

$$(7) \quad \langle q, q^{-1}, y, z, a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b} ; \quad b^i q^\epsilon a^i b^i q^{-\epsilon} a^i = 1,$$

$$(8) \quad \quad \quad ba = 1, \quad yz = 1,$$

$$(9) \quad \quad \quad yb = uy, \quad bz = zu, \quad uya = y, \quad azu = z,$$

$$(10) \quad \quad \quad b^i q^\epsilon a^i = y^i q^\epsilon z^i,$$

$$(11) \quad y^{i+1}q^\epsilon z^i = y^{i+1}q^\epsilon z^{i+1}y, \quad y^i q^\epsilon z^{i+1} = zy^{i+1}q^\epsilon z^{i+1},$$

$$(12) \quad b^{i+1}q^\epsilon a^i = b^{i+1}q^\epsilon a^{i+1}b, \quad b^i q^\epsilon a^{i+1} = ab^{i+1}q^\epsilon a^{i+1},$$

$$(13) \quad \bar{a}\bar{b} = 1, \quad \bar{a}q^\epsilon = bq^\epsilon a\bar{a}, \quad q^\epsilon \bar{b} = \bar{b}bq^\epsilon a,$$

$$(14) \quad \bar{a}y = y\bar{a}, \quad \bar{a}z = z\bar{a}, \quad \bar{a}a = a\bar{a}, \quad \bar{a}b = b\bar{a},$$

$$(15) \quad y\bar{b} = \bar{b}y, \quad z\bar{b} = \bar{b}z, \quad a\bar{b} = a, \quad b\bar{b} = \bar{b}b >$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $i \geq 0$.

Now we consider a relation (7) and multiply it by \bar{a} from the left and by \bar{b} from the right, and then use relations (13) – (15). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}b^i q^\epsilon a^i b^i q^{-\epsilon} a^i \bar{b} = \bar{a}\bar{b} &\Rightarrow b^i . bq^\epsilon a . a^i b^i . bq^{-\epsilon} a a^i \bar{a}\bar{b} = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow b^{i+1} q^\epsilon a^{i+1} b^{i+1} q^{-\epsilon} a^{i+1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So it is easily seen that all relations (7) are consequences of $q^\epsilon q^{-\epsilon} = 1$ and relations (13) – (15). A similar argument gives that all relations (10) are consequences of the relations (10) for $i = 1$ and relations (13) – (15). Analogously all relations of the form (11) and (12) are the consequences of these relations for $i = 0$ and (13) – (15). Therefore we conclude that our monoid $BR(GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u), \phi)$ is defined by

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} < q, q^{-1}, y, z, a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b}; qq^{-1} = q^{-1}q = ba = yz = \bar{a}\bar{b} = 1, \\ &\quad yb = uy, \quad bz = zu, \quad uya = y, \quad azu = z, \\ &\quad bq^\epsilon a = yq^\epsilon z, \\ &\quad yq^\epsilon = yq^\epsilon zy, \quad q^\epsilon z = zyq^\epsilon z, \\ &\quad bq^\epsilon = bq^\epsilon ab, \quad q^\epsilon a = abq^\epsilon a, \\ &\quad aq^\epsilon = bq^\epsilon a\bar{a}, \quad q^\epsilon \bar{b} = \bar{b}bq^\epsilon a, \\ &\quad \bar{a}y = y\bar{a}, \quad \bar{a}z = z\bar{a}, \quad \bar{a}a = a\bar{a}, \quad \bar{a}b = b\bar{a}, \\ &\quad y\bar{b} = \bar{b}y, \quad z\bar{b} = \bar{b}z, \quad a\bar{b} = a, \quad b\bar{b} = \bar{b}b \ (\epsilon = \pm 1) >, \end{aligned}$$

which is finitely presented. By the property (GBR3) it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} U(M) = U(BR(GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u), \phi)) &\cong U(GBR^*(FG_\infty; \beta, \gamma; u)) \\ &= \{0\} \times \{0\} \times U(FG_\infty) \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \\ &\cong U(FG_\infty) = FG_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and so the group of units of M is not finitely generated.
Hence the result.

References

1. S. I. Adyan, Defining relations and algorithmic problems for groups and semigroups, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 85, AMS, Providence, RI, (1966).
2. U. Asibong-ibe, $*$ -Bisimple type A w -semigroups-I, Semigroup Forum, 31 (1985), 99-117.
3. C. A. Carvalho, N. Ruskuc, A finitely presented monoid with a non-finitely generated group of units, Arch. Math., 89 (2007), 109-113.
4. C. Kocapinar, E. G. Karpuz, F. Ateş, A. S. Çevik, Presentation and Gröbner-Shirshov bases of the generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extension, submitted.
5. J. M. Howie, N. Ruskuc, Constructions and presentations for monoids, Communications in Algebra, 22(15) (1994), 6209-6224.
6. B. P. Kocin, The structure of inverse ideal-simple w -semigroups, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ., 23(7) (1968), 41-50.
7. W. Munn, Regular w -semigroups, Glasgow Math. J., 9 (1968), 46-66.
8. W. Munn, On simple inverse semigroups, Semigroup Forum, 1 (1970), 63-74.
9. N. R. Reilly, Bisimple w -semigroups, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc., 7 (1966), 160-167.
10. Y. Shung, L. M. Wang, $*$ -Bisimple type A w^2 -semigroups as generalized Bruck-Reilly $*$ -extensions, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Math., 32 (2008), 343-361.
11. L. Zhang, Conjugacy in special monoids, J. Algebra, 143 (1991), 487-497.
12. L. Zhang, Applying rewriting methods to special monoids, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 112 (1992), 495-505.